```
3
        SOUTHEAST SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
4
                         PUBLIC MEETING
5
                         October 6, 1994
6
                         Centennial Hall
7
                          Juneau, Alaska
8
9
                            VOLUME II
10
COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
W3lliam C. Thomas, Sr., Chairman
Gábriel D. George, Vice Chairman
V5cki LeCornu, Secretary
16nnie Anderson, Member
Richard Dalton, Sr., Member
18hn P. Feller, Member
Patricia A. Phillips, Member
Mam Robinson, Member
34hn F. Vale, Member
Dølly Garza, Member
23rol Jorgensen, Coordinator
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

# PROCEEDINGS

(On record)

3

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Good morning. We have a strict rule 6f recognizing dignitaries as they enter the building, so we Try not to get carried away with that. Good morning, Mr. Borbridge.

10 MR. BORBRIDGE: Good morning.

11

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John, yesterday, all day long as paople showed up at the meeting, we asked them to introduce themselves and give us a no longer than 45 minute bio of themselves. So to stay with that tradition that's 24 hours ΦΦd, if you'd be so kind to do that, in case there's somebody have that might not know you or know what you're doing; if you ₩8uld, please?

19

20 MR. BORBRIDGE: Mr. Chairman, that seems like a very 8hort period of time to allow but I will certainly follow the 21structions.

23

- 2.4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. This morning, we're down to ₩here we -- we're down to new business, the day after having 26stened to reports yesterday. And we have people that are 20ming in that have the time to give us some information that ₩ê're going to need in order to understand some of the 20formation that's mailed to us or something that we have to Befer to. And to give you a better explanation of all that, I would ask Carol Jorgensen to introduce our first presenter. 32
- MS. JORGENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, &e're real pleased that Mr. Bob Vaught, the Deputy Forest Stipervisor of the Ketchikan area and now heading up the Tongass Bánd Management Plan Review, is here to give a presentation. And what I'd ask Mr. Vaught is if he would give a background --38little bit of background introducing himself, and then he'll 39ve the presentation. We're appreciative of his time because #Dey're right in having meetings now, so he was able to come to 4\$ this morning. And it's been something the Council's been ₩anting for the last two meetings. So thank you. 43

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'd like to welcome Mr. Vaught. W5lcome to our meeting. 46

MR. VAUGHT: Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, #Bank you very much for having me come. I and the Forest \$8rvice appreciate the opportunity to present to you some

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

1ssues that are very important to all people in Southeast Alaska. And there's going to be some significant steps that 3ccur in the relatively near future that make your awareness of these issues quite important.

5

- As was stated, my name is Bob Vaught. And as a young Thild, I had always, as long as I can remember, wanted to go to Alaska. And I was -- my wife and I were married in 1972, and 9n 1974 we showed up in Fairbanks and I attended the University of Alaska; I graduated with a degree in Fisheries Biology. Since that time, I've lived and worked in Fairbanks, in Kodiak, 12 Seward, in Anchorage, in Sitka, in Ketchikan, and now I'm spending a great deal of time in Juneau.
- I -- in working on the Forest Plan at the current time, I6see that as a real opportunity to work together with the pæople in Southeast Alaska to try to resolve some of the 18sues. As you well know, the issues are very controversial. The issues are very political. But it is a real opportunity to 20scuss the issues and, perhaps, through some intensive effort all of our part, come together more so than we have been in 20e past.

23

- I would like to very briefly describe what we were asing as a part of the Tongass National Forest Land Management than which is known as TLMP, and I'll probably use that term. In 1976, the National Forest Management Act required National than to develop Forest Plans. And the main reason for this was so that National Forest would interact with the people that solved and worked and had an interest in the national forest.

41

The first one and, perhaps, the more visible and Amportant one is viability. It's species viability, which Means that the animals on the Tongass National Forest need to B5 able to live and interact with each other across the Tongass Mational Forest so that there are not big gaps in the areas in Which animal species can live and survive and reproduce. And there has been much controversy about what viability means and M3w we can maintain the viability of animal species in 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

Southeast Alaska.

2

- The second issue that is of great importance to us and \$4\$ embodied in considerable law are issues with the Endangered Species Act. As I'm sure you know, there are two species that have been petitioned to be list under the Endangered Species Act, and those are the Queen Charlotte Goshawk and the Alexander Archipelago wolf. The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 9s the agency which has the main responsibility to deal with these issues but the Forest Service is very involved with them, and there needs to be resolution about those two species.
- The third issue is fisheries habitat protection and whether it is adequate at the current time in relation to the theorem the time is a big effort in the Lower 48; particularly Offegon and Washington and the Snake River drainages, the Columbia drainage; to increase the protection for salmon species because of the problems that they are having down there. And the question that faces us is whether or not the protection measures which we are implementing on the Tongass Mational Forest right now are adequate to keep the same problems that are occurring in the Northwest from occurring in Southeast Alaska.

There's also an issue of caves. There are a lot of 21 ves, particularly on Prince of Wales Island but also in some 28 her areas of the Tongass, and the degree of protection that 29 necessary for those caves is also a question that faces us.

30 31

And then the last issue is clearcutting. I'm sure you know that the Chief of the Forest Service has mandated that there be a reduction in clearcutting. Currently, the Tongass National Forest clearcuts about 99% of the time in our timber program. And if we are to comply with the Chief's direction, that will require a change in approach, and that issue needs to be resolved before we can complete this Forest Plan.

39

So those are the issues that we are going to be working 41. We have already begun to deal with some of these issues, particularly the goshawk issue and the viability, the species \$3ability question.

44

Early this spring, we made some changes to our #6nagement, and we implemented what is known as HCAs or habitat #6nservation areas. And these are areas where timber #8rvesting is not allowed which are carefully located and #9read across the Tongass to allow the animals there to

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

Interact with each other in a more natural way. And we also provided some greater protection to goshawk nests where we knew those goshawk nests were located.

That resulted in an environmental assessment which is going to be released I hope tomorrow, perhaps next Tuesday at the very latest. This environmental assessment prescribes these changes, the addition of HCAs and additional goshawk protection in the Forest Plan as an interim measure, a short-term measure, until the completed revision is accomplished. But it does require some additional protections. There are alternatives that are listed in that plan that can be commented and during the public comment period. And there are changes to the current plan that are possible if the Regional Forester determines that such changes are necessary.

I would encourage you, if you have an interest, to be 16 volved in that comment to that environmental assessment. And 19 personally would be happy to make additional presentations 26 r individual communities or individual groups or in any way 2 hat I can to provide more information about that.

Description of the released until tomorrow or 26 esday and I cannot provide the specifics until there is an 26 tual public release, which is one of the regulations that we 25 tollow.

2.6

27 The last thing I'd like to talk about is how we're 28ing to proceed with the Forest Plan itself. We have 2mplemented a different approach to completion of the Forest Blan than we have exercised in the past. That approach is a partnership approach with a research organization, we call it BAW in our terminology, it stands for the Pacific Northwest Rêsearch Station. There is a Juneau lab as a part of that PNW 8#ganization which is based here in Juneau, and they're 85mposed of research scientists. And we have a number of **B6**search scientists that are working with us in a cooperative Bashion on our planning team to help us define the science of BBese issues and to do a better job of incorporating that 30 ience into the solution. We also have a member of the U.S. #Osh & Wildlife Service and the National Fishery Service Associated with the planning effort. And this is a cooperative #2lationship that we have not had in the past. 43

We would like very much and we certainly intend to #5volve tribal governments and Native communities as we work #6rward in completion of the plan. We have really just started #Bis effort in the last month or so. This new effort to get #Be revision completed. There will be much additional #8formation coming out in the next year and a half to two 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

years. There will be much greater involvement with individual 2ribal governments and Native communities and Native groups as we go forward in this effort. And I encourage you to be in 4ontact with me if you feel you need more information. You fieed presentations, we would be happy to make specific presentations at your request when we can work out an appropriate, agreeable time to do that.

As I stated in my initial comments, we look forward -I0look forward to trying to resolve these issues, making the
\$ubsistence aspect of these issues a real part of the solution.
12nd I really hope that we can come to some agreeable
conclusion as we try to accomplish the revision of this Forest
Plan.

15

16 Thank you very much.

17

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much, Mr. Vaught, we appreciate all of that kind of information. Are you going to D0 responding to questions?

21

MR. VAUGHT: I would be happy to do that, if you would 23ke me to.

24

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I have one here in reference to Babitat protection. In dealing with managing resources, Babitat protection doesn't usually come up very much. We're more concerned about limits and seasons and all this kind of Phing. And I guess we kind of expect the habitat to take care of itself, and realizing nowadays with all the activity that goes on that that's not always the case. And so, you know, we're trying to align ourselves with as many people in society Bhat is sensitive to the subsistence initiative and to make it allot more available and less cumbersome and not so officers and the subsistence in the system.

Given that, I was wondering what scenario of publicized protection parameters that the Forest Service endorse or more abbrace. Are you at liberty to share that with us?

MR. VAUGHT: Well, I can tell you what I know which #êally is not very much at this point because many of the specifics of how we intend to proceed are going to need to be warked out as we work with groups and communities in the future and as we finalize more of our plans. As I've stated we've started.

47

But I can tell you some things. The issues that we Have talked about -- that I have talked about, species 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

\*viability, the endangered species concern and those habitat -2 hose are habitat issues. And protection of a habitat is going
8 o be a necessary part of the resolution of those issues.

Incorporating science and scientists that are experts in these
5 reas along with our involvement with groups like yourself,
6 ribal governments and other members of the Native community,
We intend to ensure that the habitat protections that are
8 ecessary and that are required under law and that are required
9 or subsistence purposes are there.
10

My best guess is that there are definitely going to be tradeoffs that are going to be required if we do this. The amount of timber that we are going to be able to harvest by mecessity, if we provide greater habitat protections, will go down. Many people in Southeast Alaska are very concerned about that. It would be unethical and improper for me to try to make downsesses at this point as to how much habitat protection is doing to be needed and how much the timber harvest is going to have to go down.

20

- But the economic concerns in Southeast Alaska as well as the habitat concerns are somewhat -- we've got to the point where we can't have both to the full extent that we would like. 2And we need to ensure that the habitat concerns are fully 25nsidered and what we do is legal and appropriate to the 26ncerns of the people of Southeast Alaska, including the 37bsistence concerns, certainly.

33

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Two more points I'd like to \$\frac{5}{6}\text{are}\$ with you is that you mentioned early in your presentation \$\frac{5}{6}\text{e}\$ ills that have been plaguing the Northwest, not wanting to \$\frac{3}{6}\text{e}\$ that same thing occur in Southeast. In the last two or \$\frac{5}{6}\text{ree}\$ decades, we've learned to take pride in our hindsight; we \$\frac{3}{9}\text{l}\$ say that our hindsight often is \$20/20\$ vision which it \$\frac{4}{0}\text{esn't}\$ get any better than that. So besides having that good \$\frac{4}{1}\text{sion,}\$ I think we need to figure out something to do with that \$\frac{4}{2}\text{ther}\$ than to find ourself back exactly where we didn't want \$\frac{4}{3}\text{ wind up.}

44

The second point I would like to make, one thing that's disturbing within the Native community is we don't always like to be separated when you talk in terms of science, professionalism, qualified management because science in the western definition generally requires some collegiate 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

background and some sophisticated techniques of education that Western society has introduced. The Native community would Bike their science background that have been here forever, that Mave demonstrated the best management techniques of any Sesource to be at least regarded and acknowledged in those Gonsiderations. That's not an admonishment, that is just Something for your information. And it's something that comes Ap in discussions like this. And so whether that's embraced by Overybody or not, we don't know, but that's the posture of much of the community. And ....

11

12 MR. VAUGHT: Yes. I would like to comment on that, if I3could.

14 15

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure.

16

17 MR. VAUGHT: I believe that our feeling at this point 18 that, perhaps, in the past we have spent not enough effort providing science in the sense that the Forest Service thinks Off science with people that are scientists as well as the &tience that is available from the Native community. And I 22uly did not intend to leave the impression that one is better LBan the other. They're -- the Forest Service, as a part of 2Mis planning effort, feels that both of those areas have not Nad enough emphasis in the past and need to be improved. And 36, I agree and concur with your statement, and I appreciate 2Ne correction because oftentimes as a bureaucrat -- and I hope and I try to be a bureaucrat that is aware of the issues and 20ncerned about the proper way of doing business. But often, ₩θ as an agency, the Forest Service, needs that reminder and admonishment. So thank you.

32

33 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much. Don't apologize #4r the bureaucracy, we're working really hard to be one.

B5nnie.

36

MR. ANDERSON: Bob, I'm glad that you are finally paying attention to habitat. I was looking in the local newspaper last night or something, and at Icy Bay there was your buffer zones there. And this is a concern that I would have you speak of, specie protection and things of that sort, when you have 65 foot buffer zones throughout your streams. We fealize that with the blowdown we can show you on Kupreanof and thiu Islands where your expertise has left buffer zones and that's blown down. I'm sure that our Tlingit ancestors would have — their science would have taught them to not cut the trees down close to the streams.

48

What is going to be the outlook on buffers? You know 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

that our species primarily are close to the streams, deer @specially, and things of that sort. But if you take the Babitat into a 65 foot barrier, you terminate those. going to be a major concern in the ..... 6 MR. VAUGHT: Yes. 7 MR. ANDERSON: ..... presentation? 10 MR. VAUGHT: Yes, it is a major concern. As I stated, protection of streams, aquatic zones and fisheries is one of the major issues that we are going to be dealing with. buffers and how much buffer protection to provide to the \$\pmathbf{t}\reams and the fisheries resource is very much on the front burner as an issue as to whether it is adequate and what additional measures are needed if it is not adequate. 17 18 Currently we have a 100 foot buffer requirement on #9ther side, so a total of 200 feet minimum for any stream that Mas fish in it, any fish in it, regardless of whether they're 21mon or small headwater trout or other species. Our average 20ffer on the Tongass National Forest right now runs about 160 And so our biologists, as they work on the ground, are 21ready recognizing that greater, wider buffers are needed \$\delta\text{5}rticularly for the reasons of blowdown. There is still some agestion as to whether that's adequate, particularly in the 27eep streams where there are not fish but streams that are on 2Be steeper hillsides that are a part of the entire stream 20osystem that feed the lower streams where the salmon do 3pawn. 31 32 And so those -- that issue, whether additional \$Botections are needed higher in the watershed on streams, is å⊕ing to be a question that we're going to have to resolve. And so I don't have any best guess as to what the resolution is \$6ing to be at this time, but it certainly is one that we're againg to have to look at carefully. 38 39 MR. ANDERSON: I would consult our Tlingit scientists. 4They have -- seem to know quite a bit about where the winds \$10w through the valleys and things of that sort, the Native #21lagers. They are much more than say someone who's ..... 43 44 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Could you move the mike closer, **1**5nnie? 46 47 MR. ANDERSON: Pardon? 48 49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Can you move the mike closer to you? 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. ANDERSON: I think -- I was encouraging them to use
Bocal knowledge as much as possible on the prevailing winds.
You can come in on a experimental trip for a week or so and
maybe no winds and just take everything for granted, you know.
6The people that's lived there has the knowledge of eons on
That instead of just fly-by-the-night expertise.
9
        MR. VAUGHT: It's very good advice, thank you.
10
11
       MS. ROBINSON: Was that 160 feet total, the average?
12
13
       MR. VAUGHT: A hundred and sixty feet on each
$4de ....
15
16
       MS. ROBINSON: On each side is the average?
17
18
       MR. VAUGHT: ..... is the current average. And I
$Bould qualify that.
21
       MR. ANDERSON: We're both saying the same thing.
22
       MR. VAUGHT: I've lived and worked in Southeast Alaska
2A the last four years in Ketchikan, and I believe that's the
Atmber for the Ketchikan area. There may be some slight
Afferences in the Sitka area and the Petersburg area. So I am
200st familiar with the Ketchikan numbers, and those are our
28mbers on Ketchikan right now.
29
30
       MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.
31
       MR. ANDERSON:
                      Bob, one thing, I was mistaken on the
33y Bay, it was a State sale on that sale.
       MR. VAUGHT: Yes. Yes. The State does have different
36quirements than the Forest Service.
37
        MR. ANDERSON: And there was a couple of miles of
Byowdown right across the salmon streams or something of that
$0rt, 65 foot buffers.
41
42
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Dolly.
43
       MS. GARZA: Yes. So the environmental assessment that
♦5u refer to is specific to goshawk?
46
       MR. VAUGHT:
                   It is specific to the question of
∜8ability as well as goshawks.
49
50
```

MS. GARZA: Okay. There are goshawk nests protections in MR. VAUGHT: this EA which you will be seeing in the next couple of days From 600 acres around nest structures all the way up to eight Miles buffer protection around some nest structures. MS. GARZA: Okay. 10 The HCA part of it is areas which are MR. VAUGHT: protection zones which are scattered around the Tongass National Forest, and they're carefully located so that animal \$pecies can have patches of old growth habitat, undisturbed old of the with habitat. And they can -- there is no worry about large \$5 nes where a lot of timber harvesting is occurring so that the **ā**fimals can't migrate from one area of good habitat to another AFea of good habitat. So they're carefully located around the Tongass in order to provide the animals the opportunity to move through the Tongass National Forest in a more natural way. 21 MS. GARZA: Okay. Will there be a similar assessment @@ne for wolves? 2.3 MR. VAUGHT: Our plan right now is to deal with the attestion of wolves as a part of the Forest Plan revision 26self. We felt we needed to do something quickly with the ĝoshawks and quickly on the viability question, and that we 28uld not wait a period of 18 months until the Forest Plan was 20mpleted to do those things. On the wolf itself, we believe Bhat there is enough time to deal with that question as a part of the Forest Plan, and we don't need to do something today to deal with that question. 34 MS. GARZA: Okay. Do you have a date at which you Bhink that the TLMP will be finalized, is there a projection? 37 MR. VAUGHT: Our current projection right now is the and of the year in 1995 or early in the year, the first few months, in 1996. 40 41 MS. GARZA: Okay. 42 MR. VAUGHT: There are still some substantial questions #Hat must be resolved before we can pin those dates down. It's **₫**5ally still too early in the planning to even pin those dates There are funding questions and there are some additional team members that we need to hire, to bring them to 48 neau and get them working on the plan, and sometimes those

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

#Bings take longer than we think. But that's our projection

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

fight now.

2

MS. GARZA: Okay. I have one final question,
Mr. Chairman. In terms of the caves and protection, will you
also be considering the use of the caves by the indigenous
becople as resting sites and have those caves protected along
those lines? I guess my concern is, you know, this last summer
they had a couple of big articles about all the caves on Prince
between the many should go there and look at them all.

11 MR. VAUGHT: Yes. There's a great deal of concern by 00r cave specialists as well as a number of people from the Native community, I'm most familiar with Prince of Wales, that have expressed those concerns to us. We do not give out the 15 cations of caves to the public for that very reason. We keep that on a need to know basis in confidential files. There are a7 few caves that we have made available to the public and made those locations available to the public so that people that are 19 terested in the caves will be able to see them and will know where to go. And so there are very few caves right now that we've done that with.

In those instances, we have utilized our archaeologists and local members of the Native community in those areas to ansure that there are not sites or other areas that could be an aged as a part of those caves that we have essentially advertised. So there's just a very few that we allow people to an into like that, and most are kept very, very confidential because of that reason. We have certainly found, in the last two years, a tremendous number of caves that have had very amportant historical value from a number of different reasons.

3And every time we turn around, we find more. And so we dertainly are recognizing more and more the need to be careful that caves are not damaged inappropriately.

35 36

MS. GARZA: Okay. Thank you.

37

38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You bet. Any further -- Richard.

39

MR. DALTON: Yeah, Mr. Chairman. I'm hearing about the buffer zone, Protection Act and of habitat. I'm concerned about Hoonah area or the Icy Straits, Cross Sound. It seems that Forest Service is in contrary to the historical use of the testomary food that we value as consuming on our table. Now, 45's commercial entity, yet we have to justify feelings and whereby we have to protect this fish.

47

And what I'm saying is that the Forest Service \$90logists in Hoonah is in violation with the Tlingit law of 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

interfering with the species by transplanting the silver fish 2n violation to the tribal of that fish. It has not materialized. It seems to me like the Forest Service is at fault when they do these things here, but they do it because they have that law, and they go ahead and try to transplant it. 6 Every creek that they tried a experiment in Hoonah has never materialized. And that's really interfering with the natural stock, and I think we should leave the natural stock alone. Because this year with the Fish & Game biologists saying that we were not expecting any big, heavy return, and we did in Icy straits. Right now, they did not tell those fishermens go alead and fish the surplus. A lot of them, about 700,000 died of in the streams of Schendaheen (ph) and Freshwater Bay because they did not allow the fish to be caught as a surplus, that's on the humpies.

16

17 Why? Because the hatchery has got the authority to the sansplant or do whatever they do. And the return is getting the avy on some fish that is imitation. And some of these fish 20me from Atlantic which poisons our fish here in Alaska. And 21think the most important thing is the habitat should be \$20tected from any kind of disease that might come into those 23vers and deplete it, because you and I will be in trouble if 24 allow these things to happen.

25

37

So I think that experimentation, if you're going to Alperiment, I think you have a right to consult with the Ingit people or the Haida people or even up Interior with the skimos and the Athapaskans because they have a similarity as Alphave. So I think when you experiment, you're probably the Albat dangerous to those species. So this is the feeling that's Alphaming from Hoonah. We try to stop the biologists but they got Albam protecting them, they can go ahead and do as they please Albam our species.

47

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Let's keep our comments to equestions, please. To comments and to get into a discussion, 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
sometimes the presenter isn't prepared to do that. So as a
2ourtesy, let's confine our remarks to questions. Vicki.
       MS. LeCORNU: I just wanted to, I guess, add to what
Richard said. Mr. Vaught made a statement that it would be --
6hey're checking for legal and appropriate measures.
                                                     Well, as
Richard pointed out, those legal and appropriate measures are
Bot our legal and appropriate measures. What we have is
Oustomary and tradition. So there is a variation there that is
10aving us on the bottom side of the legal, and I think that's
aldifference that we noted.
12
1.3
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Okay. Those kind of .....
14
15
       MR. VAUGHT:
                    Yes.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         .... cases we need to resolve on a
Council level. If we've got any changes in the language to
⊅¶fer, we could do it at that time.
                                    Patty.
21
       MS. PHILLIPS: You stated that you would work with
Mative groups, and then you also stated that team members
28eded to be hired in order to -- before the '95/'96 approval
Ø∉ TLMP. Would Natives -- would a Native or Native group be a
25 art -- be a team member of this working group? Are you
26 oking to hire Natives to be part of this working group for
approval of TLMP?
28
29
       MR. VAUGHT:
                     One of the unfortunate things is that our
Budget and how much we are going to be -- have available to us
as a TLMP team and the number of positions that we're going to
B@ able to hire and which positions those are have not been
#3nalized yet. The Federal Government is on a fiscal year from
Oftober to October, and so October 1st through September 30th
35 the next year. And so we've just started our new year, we
36st have a new budget, and we're going to have to work through
30me of those issues before we can even determine the kind of
people and how many that we're going to be able to hire.
39
40
       MS. PHILLIPS: But who do you .....
41
       MR. VAUGHT: It is certainly our -- we actually do have
#3tentative plan to put a person on the team to deal very
specifically with the issues of the Native community. I hope
#hat we can continue to implement that initial plan, but there
46 a great deal of planning and question that still needs to
#ake place.
48
```

MS. PHILLIPS: In our past meetings, we've had several

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

49

50

tommunity members from Native communities and their main public Opinion has been incorporating their traditional science into the management of our resources. And I'd like to see that thereon be a Native person.

5

- You talked about habitat conservation areas, is that dorridors, is that habitat corridors, could that be another term or is corridors separate from conservation areas?
- 10 MR. VAUGHT: It's a little bit different. Habitat &bnservation areas are specific, it's kind of like circles of &2ea where no timber harvest is allowed. Then you have -- &3cuse me. Then you have maybe another one up here. And the &4rridor is the habitat that you have between those two what we &511 HCAs. And so corridors are a little bit different but they are associated with habitat conservation areas.

17

The current EA that is coming out in the next couple of days does not deal with corridors. And the reason for that is Decause this EA is an interim measure, it is a very short-term Measure to be implemented only until the Forest Plan is 20mpleted. And we hope that the Forest Plan is going to deal With how many corridors they need to be and what kind of 24rridors they need to be or even if we have an HCA approach, there are several alternatives. HCAs and corridors are one approach, there are others. And so there are many issues that are going to have to be dealt with as a part of the Forest Plan. And this -- the HCAs is just a first, short-term interim Measure and it does not include corridors.

30

MS. PHILLIPS: Who could this advisory committee dommunicate with to recommend that a Native group or individual be involved in this TLMP management -- this TLMP issue?

MR. VAUGHT: I will take that recommendation back with me6 today based on your comments. If you would like to provide witten comments to that effect, you may do so. To tell you measurements to the structure of t

42

MS. PHILLIPS: To promote the belief in our Council, we Aéed to follow through on what some of these people have Abblicly testified. And this, to me, would be one step in that direction. Thank you.

47

48 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Anybody else? John.

49

50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

1 MR. VALE: Thanks. You might have partially answered One question I had, and that is when will the public comment Period be on TLMP once again? I'll let you get that one, then 4'll go on.

5

- MR. VAUGHT: The main period of public comment will be after the draft. What we're going to do is work on another draft. A draft is a big document that involves some tentative decisions for the public to review and to give us their tomments on. So the way the process works is we need to make the initial guesses, some initial decisions and we call that a draft. The draft we hope will be done by next summer, perhaps the middle of the summer, next year, and then there will be a the cific comment period on that draft.
- Most of the work with the public and people that are 11terested in the decisions that we make take place after the d8aft is released. Because the draft is going to contain a 10mber of alternatives, a number of different ways that we 20uld do business. And it's designed to provide a range of 21fferent options on how we could approach these decisions. And so most of our public involvement effort will be after the 23aft which is next summer.

24

MR. VALE: Okay. Thanks. And you know, we've been 26gether about a year now, this is our third meeting. And one 2hing we've heard at all our meetings is concerns from Council 20ging we've heard at all our meetings is concerns from Council 20ging it's having on wildlife populations, in particular, 20ger. We've heard, you know, a lot of concern about loss of 32bitat for deer and declining deer populations in some areas 32bitat people feel is a result of clearcutting. And I recall a 32eting in 1985, a Regional Council meeting I went to, where 32be Forest Service came up with a deer model that basically 35howed declining numbers of deer available, if I remember 36rrectly, as the ever increasing amount of timber gets 32rvested.

38

And so I'm kind of wondering in the planning process Mow you're taking the needs for habitat protection for deer, in particular, and the subsistence uses and needs for those Adimals. That seems to be an important issue to many people. And I guess the habitat conservation areas, to me, seems like the answer to protecting those animals. So what are your thoughts on that?

46

MR. VAUGHT: Well, I'm afraid that I will have to say that that's one of those issues that we certainly know is out the end we're not far enough along into the planning process 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

fight now to describe how we're going to deal with them. So 2t's one of those things that we're going to have to put a lot more time and effort in over the next few months to try to figure out how we will deal with the deer models and the population estimates that we do have that are associated with those.

7

I know that's not very satisfactory at this meeting but we're just not far enough along at this time to describe the afiswers or some of the solutions that we're going to be looking at.

12

MR. VALE: Okay. And the last thing that I have is that -- it's sort of in the form of a comment. But it has to d5 with Section 810 of Title VIII which allows, you know, the w6thdrawal of lands that are important for subsistence uses for b7ther purposes. And part of 810 requires notification and t8nsultation with regional councils. And other aspects of it t9quire that the -- they have the minimum -- these withdrawals 0f lands have the minimum impact possible on subsistence uses.

And I guess what I'm trying to say is I don't feel like alther as a State Regional Council in the past or as a Federal Regional Council now that as of yet we've seen much so nsultation with the Forest Service as to what their actions also likely to do to subsistence uses. And I guess what I'm saying is that I feel the Forest Service needs to make a big sort that when subsistence uses are impacted through selfort that when subsistence uses are impacted through selfonal Council here and also point out what those are to the selfonal Council here and also point out how they're the selfonal amount of public lands necessary and what reasonable selfons are being taken to minimize the impacts on subsistence selfons. And I — that's my comment, I feel like that the Forest service needs to do that.

35

MR. VAUGHT: Duly noted. Thank you.

36 37

38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Any further questions? Are you going 80 be around the meetings or are you going to be gone or ..... 40

MR. VAUGHT: I have a number of other meetings later this morning and this afternoon, so I'll have to go before too the about I'll try to stay around for a little while.

44

45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Well, I think some of the most plessing questions and points have been exchanged, and I really thank you for the time and the overview that you shared with 48. I've seen volumes of TLMP and I don't wish that on 40 ybody. You got to have a handcart to take them around, and 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

that is just more than overwhelming. But thank you very much. 2And if we do have questions, I guess we'll have an address we dan contact at later dates and offer further comments or input r questions or that kind of thing. I'll get that information to you. 6 MR. VAUGHT: 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much. Mim. 10 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. One question and then a comment. 1John, how do you spell your last name? 12 13 MR. VAUGHT: My last name is V as in Victor, A-U-G-H-T, Vaught. 15 16 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Thanks. And then also, just for ‡∂ur benefit and the Council and other bureaucrats that are Mere too and stuff. The -- I would have -- the question that Patty asked, I would have phrased it a little differently, in Zact, I was thinking of asking it. And John kind of touched on 21 too. And what I was wondering as you made your presentation What members of the public would be involved in this Pacific Môrthwest, you know, council or whatever it is that you guys Adve put together? 25 And what I, at this time, would like to remind people D∉re that this Council is not a Native council. It is a rural 28 you know, this whole thing is a rural/non-rural subsistence 29 I mean, that's why we're here. And last year, I had felt it A@cessary to read something from the Record of Decision on the 31bsistence management for federal public lands in Alaska. And 30 Page 27 it stated: Subsistence in Alaska is not an 8% clusive Alaska Native activity. It is not racially based. Raral residents relying on the subsistence preference in ANILCA, Title VIII comprise 30.4% of the total Alaska \$6pulation according to the 1990 census; of that rural \$\delta\text{p}\text{opulation, 28.7\% are Native and 71.3\% are non-Native. 38 39 So it's just kind of a friendly reminder that, you Aflow, for why we're here. And I would appreciate it if, you Ahow, the emphasis got just a little bit away from the Native #Bing. It just -- I understand how people feel, how you guys 44Native/non-Native thing going on here. Let's keep \$5bsistence in mind. There's a lot of people that subsist on ₩Mat's out there. I'd love to be home doing it right now, you Anow. But anyway. Thank you. 48 49 MR. VAUGHT: You point out some of the .....

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Mim.

MR. VAUGHT: .... very significant complexities of the 5aw with which we must deal. There is a very significant disagreement, as you well know, between the State of Alaska and the Federal Government on subsistence and what it means and how to define it. And the resolution of that is totally unknown at this point. But there are many of these -- many, maybe hundreds of these complications between law and doing what's fight for the people that are involved. And it is -- I guess that may be feel sorry for us a little bit and try to understand that these complexities are very -- there are they many of them, they are very broadly based according to the 15sues that we deal with, and it is tough to keep them sorted to the and to keep a proper perspective.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Well, it is a regional &Ouncil, but when the Federal Government came up with the 20 nguage to use the term "rural," the rural was a protection 20 know, the Federal Government had assured the Native community. You know, they were making reference to rural communities in 2940 that were predominantly Native, and at that time, that was 20 intent. Since then, the characteristics and the 20 mistries of the communities have changed. But the 26 signation of rural still remains regardless of who's there. 20 there's truth in both scenarios. Gabe.

MR. GEORGE: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman. There is a 30uple of things that I'd like to touch on. One is on TLMP and 31ght -- you're right, there's volumes and volumes of 30formation that came out of the Forest Service. And I worked 33th the Forest Service at one time. But in terms of 30bsistence, if you remember right -- or if I remember right, 85ere's a little paragraph accompanying each part of the study 85at was taken -- undertaken about habitat and everything else 37ating that subsistence would not be significantly impacted 38d that was all they said. And that was all that was put in 32MP, you know, in terms of subsistence protection and who it 46fects.

And I'm hoping -- and you know, I'm not hoping, I'm \$\frac{3}{4}\$st ing here -- that when it does come around and if there is \$\frac{4}{6}\$st a paragraph stating that the subsistence use of the \$\frac{4}{5}\$sources under the new TLMP provision is going to state that \$\frac{4}{6}\$ere is no significant impact. TLMP isn't going to go \$\frac{4}{1}\$ywhere. I think there needs to be, you know, a definite \$\frac{4}{6}\$udy and analysis taken just as much as it has taken -- that \$\frac{4}{3}\$kes part in fish streams, buffer streams, habitat. It's the \$\frac{1}{5}\$0

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
1mpacts that cutting our timber affects, you know, everything
2n the resources in the Tongass.
        So anyway, I just wanted to touch on TLMP and what it
5aid about subsistence. I guess in response to Mim that yeah,
6 worked with the study done in the Subsistence Division and
Walked to a lot of non-Natives and Natives alike. And yes, the
Baw states, you know, rural. At one point, it was going to
State Native but there were some things happening in D.C. that
10 one has control over, you know, and I guess that's where the
tompromise and where the "Natives" lose in the definition and
12 the intent and everything else. But if you read the intent,
13think there's some intent there to recognize customary and
1 #aditional.
15
16
       And like I said -- stated earlier, there's a lot of
things that are arbitrarily stated, whether it be dates or
WBatever, that significantly impact both Native and non-Natives
both positively and negatively. And like I stated on the
allotments, Native allotments, which is different than anything
21se here, but nonetheless, you know, you had to be head of the
Mousehold, you had to apply before 1906 'cause Tongass came in,
and everybody was cut off and nobody told them.
2.4
25
        Now, I don't know where that sits in the world of where
26're sitting here now, but the significance of legislation and
Phe impact it has on rural residents is -- and Natives is
3%gnificant, and that's what we need to deal with.
29
30
       Thank you.
31
32
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll still be reminded that it is a
Baral issue and we'll keep it that way and everybody
appreciates reminding us and we can all use them. And we thank
@Serybody for that. Somebody want to be recognized?
36
37
       MS. LeCORNU: Mr. Chairman, I .....
38
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: When you're raising your hand, I
∉an't ....
41
42
       MS. LeCORNU:
                     Okay.
43
44
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ..... always see you so .....
45
46
       MS. LeCORNU: All right.
47
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... either call me or let -- make
$9re I see you.
50
```

```
MS. LeCORNU: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to
domment on that rural description. As Gabe said, subsistence
shouldn't -- or can't be significantly and negatively affected,
and it shouldn't be by this determination.
                                           If we're going to
6se this determination to wash any customary and traditional
Uses, then we're not effecting the change that we want for a
distinct people to survive. We're not talking about distinct
Oommunities any more, we're talking about rural communities.
Bût the taking will be from a distinct group of people, and so
Ilhave a real problem with that distinction being blurred.
12
13
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          That's part of the complexities of
the customary and traditional determination. That's going to
bb one of our greatest challenges is when that does happen that
₩6 have as much accurate input as we can possibly give them.
And so it's something that we need to keep on top of our minds,
18 front of our minds, and keep pursuing it and ask questions
from people that are available. And thanks again, Mr. Vaught,
We really appreciate your indulgence. Thank you.
21
22
       MR. VAUGHT:
                     Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Okay. Next is a two minute coffee
D5eak, and then we'll come back. If there's others that need
26 be included on the agenda, we can do it at this time.
27 this afternoon and tomorrow we'll be hearing from the
28utheast Alaska Conservation Council, and they have some
D9 laws regarding TLMP as well. Lonnie?
30
       MR. ANDERSON: Coming back, we'll have a motion to
Submit a letter from this group to Mr. Vaught's group
88ncerning the questions that has been raised in this forum.
35
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You bet.
36
37
       (Off record)
38
39
       (On record)
40
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. When we went on break, some
#2mbers of the Council indicated they would like to write a
48tter or a resolution to the Forest Service regarding some of
#He comments that were exchanged during our last presentation.
450 given that information, Lonnie, do you have any more to --
16nnie, could you clue us in on what you were mentioning before
₩₩ went on break regarding the letter?
48
49
       MR. ANDERSON: I was thinking that, you know, some of
50
```

```
the concerns that different individuals had that they may want
to give us a paragraph of the concern, and then have a
dommittee adopt a letter that would make sense. Maybe Carol
∉ould do the editing for it.
6
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          Okay.
7
        MR. ANDERSON: We could just wait until she gets back
and follow her lead.
10
11
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let's do it now and then broadside
her when she comes in.
14
       MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
1.5
16
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did everybody on the Council hear
flat?
18
19
        MS. ROBINSON: No.
20
21
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Lonnie suggested that based on
20me of the comments and questions that were exchanged during
MB. Vaught's presentation that it might be a good idea for us
24 draft a paragraph for discussion and -- was it to send them
a5letter, Lonnie?
2.6
27
        MR. ANDERSON: To Bob and .....
2.8
29
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah.
30
31
        MR. ANDERSON: .... his group.
32
33
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. So .....
34
35
        MS. ROBINSON: Bob who?
36
37
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vaught.
38
39
       MS. ROBINSON: I thought his name was John. It's Bob?
40
41
       MR. ANDERSON:
                      Bob, Robert Vaught.
42
43
                      Okay. I'm glad I got that straight.
        MS. ROBINSON:
44
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. The more I think about it, the
$6tter it looks like we better wait till Carol gets back.
There she is now.
48
49
        MR. ANDERSON: Carol, we would like to draft a letter
50
```

```
with your expertise to the Forest Service, reference TLMP,
2mphasizing some of the terms, questions that was brought out
In our previous discussion. Could you draft up the outline?
        MS. JORGENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I've got the letter
half done already so .....
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: She's a mind reader, see. We'll see
What you get a salary increase before this meeting's over with.
10
11
        MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I make the motion that we
$2nd a letter to the Forest Service in reference to the TLMP
taport expressing our concerns that has been expressed here.
14
15
        MR. GEORGE: I'll second the motion.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion, there's a
$8cond. Any discussion?
19
20
        MR. DALTON: Question.
2.1
        MS. GARZA: If I could clarify that motion a bit.
₩∂uld request that we send a letter requesting Native
2Avolvement in the planning team, that was Patty's request, and
25at the Southeast Advisory Council be informed and involved in
2he TLMP process. Does that sound okay?
27
2.8
        MR. ANDERSON: That's what I said.
29
30
       MS. GARZA: Yeah.
31
32
        MR. GEORGE: A rural Native.
33
34
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: A rare Native?
35
36
                     Yeah, rare rural.
        MR. GEORGE:
37
38
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Or rural rare. Further discussion?
39
40
        MR. DALTON:
                     Ouestion.
41
42
        MR. GEORGE:
                     Question.
43
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question has been called. All
₺bose in favor say aye.
46
47
        IN UNISON:
                   Aye.
48
49
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed, same sign.
50
```

2 (No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion is carried. In regards to \$LMP, like I mentioned earlier, we're going to have another presentation from the Southeast Alaska Conservation Council. Let me read you the letter that he sent me. He said: Dear Mr. Shomas, I enjoyed our brief conversation at the Wild and Scenic Rivers presentation in Ketchikan last week. As you may remember, we traded some ideas about subsistence and the hipcoming Tongass Land Management Plan revision. I would like ask for a few minutes at the upcoming Regional Advisory Council meeting to present the Southeast Alaska Conservation Council views on subsistence and the TLMP revision process.

As you may know, SEACC, that's the acronym for their tduncil, is a coalition of 15 conservation groups in 12 \$\textit{8}\$ utheast Alaskan communities. Many of our members are \$\textit{9}\$ bsistence users. We think it is critically important to \$\textit{2}\$ fisher continued subsistence opportunities on the Tongass \$\textit{M}\$ ational Forest and protect against subsistence restrictions. Provisions for subsistence opportunity should be one of the \$\textit{M}\$ os important objectives of the Forest Service as it revises \$\textit{T}\$ MP, the plan that will guide Tongass Management Plan for the \$\textit{A}\$ xt 10 to 15 years.

26

We would like the Southeast Regional Advisory Council 28 consider passing a resolution in favor of creating an 09ficial subsistence land use designation, and that acronym is BOD, for the TLMP. The purpose of the subsistence LUD would be 85 identify and maintain those areas of greatest importance to 30bsistence users. At the same time, other lands would still B3 protected at the same level as they are currently. In other w4rds, protecting some areas more does not mean protecting 35erywhere else any less.

36

And so he does have a presentation he wants to give us \$\frac{0}{8}\$th some reference -- or an emphasis on TLMP. He also has \$\frac{0}{8}\$out a 20 minute slide show that is called the Wild and Scenic Rovers. And I saw that last week in Ketchikan, and it's \$\frac{1}{2}\$theresting and intriguing pictures, and some of them are \$\frac{1}{2}\$totos that I'm sure will be of interest to people here that \$\frac{1}{2}\$the concerned about subsistence. So we'll offer that man some \$\frac{1}{2}\$the on the agenda whenever he can -- whenever we have another \$\frac{1}{2}\$teak in our agenda and if he's here. He had to leave this \$\frac{1}{2}\$forning to attend the teleconference.

47

Given that, was there any other presenters, any other  $49 \, \text{ems}$  that we need to -- Mim.

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MS. ROBINSON: Actually, I've got a couple things, but
One is just in reference to the letter that Carol's working on.
4I was just -- I'm not sure how you intended to deal with the
Braft that she's doing, but seeing how she's a Forest Service
6mployee, it's probably not real appropriate that she be
Writing this letter commenting on a Forest Service plan.
8- whatever she's doing up, maybe a group from the Council here
@an get -- use her draft just as a basis for the letter and so
that it's not -- .....
11
12
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No conflict.
13
14
       MS. ROBINSON:
                       .... no conflict there. Was there any
♦5her comment on that? I have another .....
17
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Comment?
18
19
       MR. GEORGE: Yeah. On that, I believe that, you know,
Carol works for us, you know.
21
22
        MS. ROBINSON:
                       Um-hum.
23
       MR. GEORGE: And, you know, first and foremost.
&5esn't work for the Forest Service or I don't think she does.
2.6
27
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: They just pay her.
2.8
29
        MR. GEORGE:
                     They -- yeah.
                                    'Cause she need -- there
Beeds to be an office, you know.
31
32
        MS. ROBINSON: Um-hum.
33
34
        MR. GEORGE: But other than that, the letter is
3topposed to just be reflecting the concerns that we
36ated ....
37
38
        MS. ROBINSON:
                      Um-hum.
39
40
       MR. GEORGE: .... as a Council.
41
42
       MS. ROBINSON: Yeah.
43
44
       MR. ANDERSON: Well, the Chairman can sign the letter.
45
46
       MS. ROBINSON:
                      Yeah.
47
       MR. ANDERSON: We don't -- I'm sure we over on this end
♦ the table don't mind that.
50
```

```
MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
3
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.
        MS. ROBINSON: Okay. The other thing was John and I,
during the break, John Feller and I, were talking about the
8hree past Council members that are no longer with us and
Wanted to recognize their past service, and I'm sure you all
feel the same way. And we were discussing ways of doing that,
and one would be either a letter -- one that I thought would be
make to see, to have a plaque made up for them, you know, in
tacognition and appreciation of your service or whatever.
den't know what it would say but with the date on it, you know,
the year and whatever. Does an- -- .....
16
17
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          I think that's a good idea.
&Onsidered the same thing. As far as a plaque is concerned, I
quess we can explore what budget might be available for that; I
Rhow it isn't very much to have a plaque made up.
2.1
22
        MS. ROBINSON: Um-hum.
2.3
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: But still, the expense has to come
If om somewheres and, perhaps, we can explore that. And I think

$\frac{2}{6}\text{u're right, I think a person's term here should be recognized.}

and acknowledged because .....
2.8
29
        MS. ROBINSON: It's important.
30
31
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          ..... to reach this point, you had to
$2ss a bunch of hurdles demonstrating your commitment and your
33ncern about the issue, and so I agree. In any case, I intend
84 send each of them letters from me as the Chairman .....
35
36
        MS. ROBINSON: Um-hum.
37
38
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... with that regard but ....
39
40
       MS. ROBINSON: That might be nice with a plaque.
41
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. So if we could do that.
@arol, could you explore that for us?
44
45
        MS. JORGENSEN: Um-hum.
46
47
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: A good idea, thank you, Mim.
48
49
        (Off record comments)
50
```

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. We have a member in our audience that has some comments and I'm sure a presentation for As. Ray Nielson from Sitka has been very interested in what we're doing. He sends correspondence to Board meetings. One correspondence that he sent to the Board meeting was I think a real impacting factor that led the Board to make a decision without a lot of debate in the Region's favor. So with that, y'd like to, at this time, give some time to Ray for his presentation. And let's take it easy on him because I don't khow how much he's prepared to answer questions; and if he's hot, we won't ask him to respond to questions, but if he will, we will do that.

14 15

Ray, if you would, please.

16

MR. NIELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. 18's always a pleasure to be here in front of a favorable board. And I had a few comments on just the harvester issue, but Mr. Feller changed the outlook of things yesterday, so I kind of broadened it. And since the Board was -- went the same way, so I redid my presentation. I would like to make a few 20mments.

24

At the present time, Sitka Tribes Traditional Food P6ogram provides Native foods for 22 groups, agencies, staff, 20uncil, tribal committees and also City and Borough of Sitka 20mmittee meetings, at planholder meetings at Sitka Tribe and -29that concerns tribal Natives. And we also have special out 30 town guests that come that meet; at this time, it's people Bunning for political offices. And they are concerned that the B2ibal's way and which way we're going and how we look at them 33 how they perceive what we are doing at this time.

And most importantly, we take care of individuals in a6ed in passing on the values of our ways to our youngsters. They are the people that will be us tomorrow. We want them to b8 able to take care of us as we take care of our Elders today. 30ur ways have evolved from the way of life to the lifestyle that we now enjoy today, whether you're Native or you're White that we now enjoy today, whether you're Native or you're White that you come from a rural community or from the city. Through that Cultural Preservation Committee, we are identifying places that Native names which were special use areas. This is our second year of putting this together, the places on maps and tharts.

46

As a member of the Subsistence Health Culture 68mmittee, Sitka Sea Otter Commission, coordinator of the 49aditional Food Program, we recognize and support our inborn, 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

inherent right to harvest all forms of traditional food, that 2ncludes herbs. And we recognize the importance of these now as our ancestors did in the past. And we recognize the qualities of dietary, nutritional and spiritual values.

At this time, the State of Alaska has issued tribal permits to the tribe for herring eggs on kelp, sockeye. And we are working on gathering info on king and coho and other foods which the State will not give us as a subsistence food because of the monetary value the State would lose if they give it to us. So we are looking through documentation, through the Elders and the stories they hold and as caretakers of stories passed on, on information of spots used for that special parpose.

15

STA has sent a resolution to the Department of Interior 17 support of Judge Holland's decision on Katie John case. We fecognize the importance of federal management of resources within navigable waters. We are also concerned about salmon and trout resources which are non-Native to Southeast but are plassing by the coast.

22

Just recently, we met with some members of the 24S./Canada Salmon Commission from the Columbia River, and they 25re stressing the importance of equity. But we are also 26terested in our customary and traditional right to harvest 28cognize our right to trade, barter and sell subsistence items 29 being customary and traditional.

30

At this time, the Sitka Tribe and the Tribal Court is 30 tervening on a court case that the State has taken upon one 35 our tribal members. He had shot a deer on the mountaintop 84 every first day, come up on a buck and a doe, and as he 35 ot, the buck moved and he dropped the doe. So wanton waste 36 not one of our ways, so he cleaned it, packed it down; it 30 be put to good use. Well, the arresting officers were 88 ere at the bottom and they arrested him for negligence. No, 30 gligence is to knowingly waste, leave it up there. That's 40 t a waste, we could always utilize that deer, whether it be 41 d folks or one of the agencies in town.

42

So we went to the arraignment and told the judge we'd #4ke to intervene, we'd like them to dismiss all charges and #5rn it over to Tribal Court. Well, the Magistrate was a 46ttle bit surprised and taken back, and he says well, we'll #4ave to take this slow as it is a issue of tribal sovereignty. 4And the arresting officers, they were quite surprised. But #4fterwards, they came up to us and shook our hands and said 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

Good move, you know. We said we're just exercising our right as a tribal government. So December 1st, we'll be going about going through the pretrial and hopefully things go our way, if Aot, well, we're ready to see it through the end in the State bourts. And we will not give up our right to trade, barter and Sell as being a customary and traditional way under our  $\Theta$ ustomary and traditional uses. It is one of the unwritten Native laws that the State will not recognize, and we never tave up that right. It is our way, and we will continue doing flat. 1.3 14 If you have any questions, I'll certainly Thank you. tby to answer them. 16 17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Ray. Now, Richard. 18 MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman. I'd like to ask Ray if he 20uld make a print or something like this, like with your attorney, for our record here. 23 MR. NIELSON: I can do that. 2.4 MR. DALTON: Have it typewritten and -- so that each 26e of us can have that. 28 MR. NIELSON: Sure, I can do that. You'll have it 20morrow. 30 31 MR. DALTON: Okay. Thank you. 32 33 MR. NIELSON: Thank you. 34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Ray. You know, while it 86s a relatively short presentation, the significance of that and the trend that is possible or precedence of that is very, 38ry significant, and it's something we need to pay attention 80. Some of that is what I had in mind yesterday when I was #0 ferencing that the existing laws that we try to uphold now #akes away from what we generally do under customary and #2aditional exercises. But Ray covered part of that very ♠Boquently. Thank you very much for that. 44 45 MR. VALE: Mr. Chairman? 46 47 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John. 48

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

MR. VALE: I'd just like to make a quick comment on

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

49

50

Some of Ray's statement there for work later on our agenda Bere. And, you know, we heard from Ray about the, you know, Besources not being available to them, namely kings and cohos, for example. And I feel that this is an important issue that we need to address in our annual report, and that's just —6hat's all I have there. I think when we do our annual report at this meeting here, that to me that's a very important point; you know, our annual reports are supposed to list uses and anticipated needs in the future. And, you know, that's a resource that's being deprived of people in Southeast that they historically use. And, you know, I think it's vitally important that that be returned to subsistence users and that we should say so in our annual report.

14 15

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. John.

16

17 MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman, I concur with what John \$8id. I think Ray might have -- I'm not sure if he mentioned halibut before, I think he did in the conversation.

20

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Anybody else? Gabe?

22

MR. GEORGE: Yeah. I guess as far as the presentation, 24appreciated it and I don't know where to take it from the \$\frac{5}{2}\$tka end as far as it being a tribal issue or in the Sitka afea. But certainly, as far as the -- you know, this Council 2\frac{3}{2}\$ concerned and our emphasis on subsistence and use of the 2\frac{6}{2}\$sources, I think that this Council probably should discuss 2\frac{3}{2}\$ys of addressing the issue that has been presented to us and 3\frac{3}{2}\$e if we can come up with something that's reasonable for the 3\frac{1}{2}\$ard to consider.

32

33 MR. VALE: Could you clarify which particular issues \$4u're referring to?

35

36 MR. GEORGE: Well, you know, the only thing that we Bave in front of us right now in terms of the presentation is BBe shooting of a doe during the first day of the season which 39 bucks only. And the issue of a cultural -- maybe it isn't even just cultural clashing of values. I mean, there is a management scheme that has does onl- -- or bucks only then does And all for managing the herds. Yet, when someone shoots a doe #3stakenly, whether -- or even just shoots a doe, you know, is 44 best for them to leave it, to wanton waste it or is it \$5tter to take it? And if so, then we address it. I mean, #Mat is in our realm of being able to discuss issues here and #Mat is pertinent to the Forest Service and manage- -- federal #anagement on federal lands is -- which is what we're talking ABout here, subsistence. And I think we need to either discuss 50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
1t further or put .....
3
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think -- .....
       MR. GEORGE: ..... a committee or something together
60 ....
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... I think the safest thing to do
Whenever that occurs is to tell them you're sport hunting.
10's all right for a sport hunter but for subsistence, you
¢an't do that.
12
13
       MR. GEORGE: But it's an issue, I think, that the
Council needs to sit and address.
16
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Let's make a note of that.
₩ðn't do that right now, but we will because things like that
ase going to keep coming up, and hopefully we'll do it later
this afternoon or maybe tomorrow, with that.
2.1
       I have -- Patty.
2.2
       MS. PHILLIPS: The other issue that Ray brought up was
2Me community harvest, and I want to recognize that, you know,
2he Sitka Tribe does a community harvest and they do -- and
2key're not the only group that does it. In our community, we
Mave community harvests also, but it's not just focused on the
Mative community. I know for a fact when we take our boat to
29tka, you walk up the ramp and there'll be a sign on the
Bulletin board that says we need king salmon at the Senior
Genter, give us a call. It's not just, you know, the Sitka
Bribes of Alaska that provides those resources to certain
3Bganizations in Sitka.
34
        So I think the community harvest issue is one that we
3hould be addressing also.
37
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Any further comments? Okay.
ORay. I got a reminder here of new agency members. Rachel
MAson with the Fore- -- for the Fish & Wildlife Service in
Afinchorage and Mike Sharp with the Park Service in Yakutat.
₩@uld Rachel please be recognized?
43
44
       MS. MASON: Hi.
45
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're glad to have you. Thank you
∜ery much.
48
49
       MS. MASON: I'm glad to be here.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And Mike Sharp. Hi, Mike, we're glad
Bo have you. You're stationed in Yakutat?
        MR. SHARP: Yes, sir.
6
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you have any credentials regarding
Mr. Vale that we might not have?
10
        MR. SHARP: No, sir. A good addition.
11
12
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, we're glad to have you.
Welcome to our meeting.
14
1.5
       MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Carol.
18
       MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman, we'd also like to
20cognize Ernestine Hanlon in from Hoonah.
21
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ernestine, welcome. Anybody else? I
a3dn't mean to overlook anybody.
25
        MR. VALE: We have Bruce Dinneford from the Department
26 Fish & Game back there, Mr. Chairman.
28
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bruce, we're glad to have you.
20ell, I appreciate the reminder because it's always a courtesy
80 recognize people as they take time to attend our meeting.
31 formation exchanged.
                       When we left last night, we asked those
BDat had information from their communities to offer them to us
$3r our information and to exchange information to the other.
Bées anybody have such information?
35
36
        MR. VALE:
                  I'd like to share two things with the
Gouncil. I noticed here when we sat down after our break or
$8rst thing this morning, the newspaper article in front of us,
30e about roe stripping, the other says "Huge Amounts of Fish
Dûmped in the Ocean, Mostly Bycatch off Commercial Fisheries."
41
        I was approached by an individual who was -- I don't --
#3have to dig out the information. But they were concerned
about this bycatch and dumping of waste out in the ocean, and
∜Dey gave me a resolution and asked if I would have the Council
46ok at it and consider adopting our own resolution similar to
∉Mat.
48
49
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Who gave you the resolution?
50
```

1

MR. VALE: A girl named Yvette Brown who's part of an 3rganization who's seeking to get the North Pacific Management Council to develop incentives for commercial fishers to reduce their waste. I haven't really -- I don't really know if it's appropriate to throw it out on the table 'cause it's kind of a stretch to make a subsistence issue out of it. And, you know, waste and bycatch, I think, eventually probably does affect subsistence users, but I kind of wanted to get an idea from the Council members if it's -- they thought it was appropriate for the to look at that as an issue. And I .....

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, the Chairman thinks it's appropriate. I haven't polled the Council on that. But any k5nd of waste is appropriate for us to consider. Dolly. 16

MS. GARZA: I think it is appropriate, and perhaps the best place to put it would be in the report that you had suggested earlier where we might put the king salmon issue in. 20t should be identified as something that we should be addressing; however, it's my understanding that we're still not addressing fisheries issues which is what bycatch is. In terms at the significance to subsistence users, I think it's afcredible because they could be in one single swoop taking all the sockeye that are going to Redoubt Lake or all the sockeye that are going to somebody's lake, and we don't know what that ampact is. But I think that the potential impact is abbstantial.

MR. VALE: Okay. Well, given that, Mr. Chairman, I'll §1ve Carol a copy of this resolution and maybe she could get §2me copies and circulate it out to the Council members so they §3uld review it and consider the substance in there, and maybe §4 could look at it at a later time.

35

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

36 37

29

MR. VALE: The other item I had that I wanted to relate 80 the Council is the -- there is still a great deal of concern 400 ongst subsistence users in Yakutat that the use of steelhead 40 ontinues to be denied to them. It's a historical resource 40 at's been harvested. And, you know, there was an effort to 43 y and get the State to allow a subsistence fishery on 40 eelhead, it was an ongoing effort that -- from a proposal 40 at the Advisory Committee submitted on the State level 40 eking to have a fishery authorized, and it got bounced around 40 rough the State system for four years. And despite letters 40 months and the Native corporations supporting it and resolutions from ANB/ANS supporting it, and testimony from the Advisory

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

Committee supporting it and even testimony from the State Regional Council supporting it, the State finally concluded Shat they wanted to send that proposal back without taking any Action on it to see if people still wanted to propose it.

So, in essence, this issue has been delayed from being dealt with. People had hoped that this would be resolved on the State level and it has not. A proposal has been submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board last year seeking to change the regulations and have the Federal Board authorize a thosistence fishery on steelhead.

Well, you know, as we heard earlier in the meeting, now we're looking at two, three, possibly four years down the road before we address these subsistence issues. And quite henestly, I don't believe people can wait that long and to dontinue to have this resource denied to them. My -- I have been asked by the Tribal Government and a number of the Chiefs be the clans in Yakutat to contact attorneys and draft up an appeal to the Federal Board asking them to step in and take abme action.

22

And so I wanted to make the Council aware of this 2sue. I know I've addressed it before. And I think that, you know, this will be put on the front burner once again now that 6 failed to get anything done on the State level. And, you know, I'll probably be seeking a recommendation from this 28uncil, perhaps at our next meeting, supporting that appeal.

30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Yeah, it's a distressing \$\\$sue, and I don't know what we can do about the time line that \$\textit{Rey provide for us.} If anybody here has an idea on how we can \$\textit{Rey provide for us.} If anybody here has an idea on how we can \$\textit{Rey circuit} that time, we'd all be willing to at least give \$\textit{Rey a try.} We have to be brave and bold sometimes to salvage \$\textit{Some of these area issues.} And if we need to do that, perhaps \$\textit{Rey c'll find a place in our agenda to fit that in.} But we want \$\textit{Rey do it with some good discretion at the same time, so .....} 38

MR. VALE: I believe that, perhaps, at our next meeting 40 February or whenever that occurs would be prior to the #4deral Subsistence Board meeting in March or whenever that is, 40at that might be a good time to take a look at that action.

43
44 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you, John. Anybody \$5se?

46

47 MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman.

48

49 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John.

50

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. FELLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yeah. I know
3t's common knowledge on the Stikine moose hunt here, it's
Already published sometime here this year in this booklet.
we were fortunate to still maintain a hunt on account of our
&ctions from this Board possibly and the Federal Subsistence
Board. The State, you may know or not know that they closed
down the hunt on the public lands because they didn't have any
Way of protecting the herds there. But it maintained a
$Obsistence hunt for this area from September 15th -- it's on
11 going on right now -- to October 15th. So it's going on the
$2cond week, the hunt is, on the Stikine. And the style of the
hant has changed because they have to target the antlered bull
№4th a spiked fork or the 50 inch antlers or three or more
t5nes on each -- either side.
16
17
        So even though they didn't like the change, in the past
18 Wrangell -- and I don't know if so much Petersburg, they're
happy to have this hunt now I'm sure. I haven't been able to
20uch base with the local people because of the season being
20ng for me being a commercial fisherman. But I am sure
2Dey're happy that they at least have a hunt there and the herd
23 being protected. The people that were concerned about the
Merd are happy.
25
        So yeah, I just wanted to share that with the Council
and the other agencies.
2.8
29
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, John. Yeah, you mentioned
Bhat -- the involvement of this Council and the Federal Board,
$\dot\text{ou're right, that action is a direct result of the proposals
Blat were submitted regarding that area. We chose on this
Gouncil to support that, took it before the Board with our
Bécommendation to pass, and it did so. So that's how that came
35out.
36
       MR. FELLER: Well, thanks for that information,
M8. Chairman.
39
40
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Further comments?
41
       MR. GEORGE: Yeah.
                            I'd like to share an issue with the
Council, I believe it's a rural issue; in Angoon, it appears to
194 a rural issue. And the issue is confiscation of gear in the
≇5ral area by Fish & Game Protection and transporting that gear
46er to their home office, and in our case it's Sitka, and they
Aut it up for auction over there. There's no notice, there's
48 anything. There isn't much done in Angoon.
49
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

```
MR. VALE: Gear? Gear, did you say?
       MR. GEORGE: Gear, you know, like crab pots, you know,
shrimp pots.
6
       MR. VALE:
                  Okay.
7
       MR. GEORGE: Any kind of fishing gear that -- I mean,
Angoon's a subsistence community, you know. And the gear that
₩ê get costs money, costs time and all. And when Fish & Game
tomes over and enforces their regulations and pick -- you know,
palls up the crab pot and if there's something wrong either
with the numbering or the construction, they'll take that pot
AAd not give anyone notice, run it over to Sitka and auction it
$5f over there if nobody claims it. Which is fine, you know,
16 they did the same thing in Sitka and brought all their gear
that they confiscated over there to Angoon and auctioned it off
for us and we'd get -- we'd have a lot more gear to set out.
B9t that's not what happens, it goes one way.
21
       And I assume it happens in other communities in
20utheast. Hopefully Fish & Game isn't .....
2.4
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, nobody else breaks the law.
25
       MR. GEORGE: Hopefully, they're not only coming to
Angoon and doing that. But going -- or that when they do pull
28ab pots and other things and take it to another community, I
20n't think that's -- I know it's not right. And I guess, you
Rhow, I don't know how best to address it as a Council, but it
dertainly is an issue.
32
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Gabe. You guys are
B4mbarding me, there's some real tough ones. Lighten up a
Battle bit, huh? Okay. Is there anything before we get into
8he designated hunter permitting system report, discussion and
action? If not, we'll move into that area.
38
39
        I might've mentioned earlier that we hope to break for
40nch at about 11:30 and come back at 1:00 o'clock. A motion
₩as made and passed yesterday to have our elections of three
$2sitions today at 1:00 o'clock. So in order to allow for that
and still have time for those that have local offices to check
4Ato their offices, we are taking an hour and a hour for lunch.
45
46
        Carol, I have to yield to you a little bit on the
47 signated hunter discussion. Could you help me with that?
49
       MS. JORGENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. George
50
```

Sherrod will be sharing with us the designated hunter report and what's been happening on that.

3

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: At least yesterday they called him Taylor, today there was no designation. But we appreciate you doing that, George.

7

MR. SHERROD: Well, actually, Ken Taylor was supposed to be here from the Forest Service, I was supposed to help him. 150 again, I'm sort of shooting from the hip, but I'm a little bit more knowledgeable about this subject because of my 10volvement with it.

1.3

In the packets you received -- I believe, since I d5dn't see the packets I'm not totally sure, but a copy of the d6signated hunter report. This report was stimulated by a findmber of proposals submitted from Southeast and from Kodiak I8land last year requesting -- as they were originally drafted, t9e proposals, requesting that individuals, qualified 20bsistence harvesters, be able to take resources on behalf of 21her qualified subsistence users. Through the course of the 22rious council meetings, the proposals were modified and 23panded from deer, I believe was the initial request, to all 24ecies.

25

The Board at that time felt that this was an issue of \$\frac{2}{2}\text{atewide significance}\$ and that it should be looked at by a \$\frac{2}{2}\text{orum}\$ that could recommend, perhaps, a statewide policy or a \$\frac{2}{2}\text{atewide}\$ procedure for adopting these. As a consequence, the \$\frac{2}{2}\text{atewide}\$ procedure for adopting these. As a consequence, the \$\frac{2}{2}\text{atewide}\$ and the Staff -- or a task force be formed by the \$\frac{2}{2}\text{aff}\$ and that the task force included representatives from \$\frac{2}{2}\text{gional}\$ councils and the Alaska Department of Fish & Game. \$\frac{2}{2}\text{Bis}\$ task force was formed and conducted two meetings. The \$\frac{2}{2}\text{eport}\$ before you is basically the outcome of that process \$\frac{2}{2}\text{bich}\$ was far too complicated to go into any great detail here.

But there are some key points that arose from the mæeting of these individuals and they should be pointed out.
One of which was that the body collectively decided that they would not take no as an answer or that it can't be done. That any issue or any proposal or option that would accommodate this altent, this desire by the Board to allow harvesters to take asources for people unable to harvest, regardless of the ason, would be considered.

45

As a result, two of the options that are included in the report, the tribal management option and the local management option, are currently outside the scope of the subpart D process. They are, at this moment, undergoing review 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

by legal counsels from the Department of the Interior and the Porest Service to decide the appropriate action or the body From which -- for which they should be forwarded for Consideration.

5

I think that part of the -- or a major task of local groups or bodies like this in requesting changes from Organizations like the Federal Government is identifying the Nevel at which the request should go. And in this case, the logal staff is trying to identify that level and is forwarding those proposals on.

12

The report -- within the course of the first meeting, the task force decided to try to narrow its scope this time abound to try to make sure that we had a proposal in place to a6 least address the -- some of the minimum needs; and that is allowing people to -- harvesters to take resources for others, p8 imarily ungulates because it was felt that given the short the period we could more easily accommodate that. There were a0 number of options put forward. Four were decided to be the Rey and these were the ones that were flushed out. And in addition to the two I had mentioned, we had a community harvest abtion and a designated hunter option.

24

There were several themes that reoccurred throughout  $2\hbar$ ese meetings, one of which was the importance to conserve 28sources. The task members/participants recognized that only 28rough the conservation of resources could subsistence be 29aranteed in the future.

30

Another important theme was the idea of flexibility, BDat the system -- whatever the system that was developed would Bave to be flexible. And that this flexibility would allow Begulations to be tailored to local conditions and the Conditions of the resources to accommodate local customs and Beaditions, to accommodate local resources and to accommodate Changes through time. And in that case, I mean that while one Centrol may be able to accommodate the harvest when resources are at a high population and healthy, that another option might Have to be imposed when resource populations tended to be on the decline or an endangered state -- not an endangered but Accommodate their viability is less healthy.

43

There were a number of other options that were not &5nsidered that would have accommodated this, and this does not A6an that these options are not available, they simply were not &7es that were flushed out; including, just briefly, would be &8tions, liberal bag limits, perhaps, might or our harvest 49mits might solve this problem. A not perfect situation but 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

probably closer to is the Arctic caribou herd in which I think 2ndividuals can take five a day 365 days a year. With that 8ype of harvest limit, it would be fairly easy for an 4ndividual to be able to accommodate the needs of his own 5mmediate family and those people that he was obligated to 6hare with. But again, that -- that's a option that's not 3lways available because of the status of the resource.

Another option that was not flushed out was the option 10 which, perhaps, a pool of animals would be set aside for a community to harvest to accommodate the needs of those unable to harvest for themselves. That you would have a allocation of X3number of animals, and that number of animals or that resource would be designated for individuals that could not harvest for themselves. And those determinations would be besed on some sort of community criteria.

The proposal that's in -- or the report that's in front \$\frac{1}{2}\$ you is designed to be a guide book and not sort of the sole answer. It is not expected that you will say I like B but throw the rest out. I think what's important for this group is the look at the structure in which these different options have the proposed out, the types of considerations that were the look at the same time accommodate this after the resource and at the same time accommodate this after the people to harvest animals for other qualified users.

There are components from all of these options, the four options, even the two that are currently not available for adoption in their entirety, that can be drawn upon in the formulation of a proposal to accommodate the original intent. It is, I suppose, the option of this Board to try to pull formulation appropriate drawn from these different components and desing the examples set forward in each of them to draft a proposal to submit to the Federal Board.

And again, as I say, one of the things that came in my mand very clear was the fact that through representatives from the different regional councils across the state, they the cognize that each of them had unique situations and may not the able to be accommodated by one blanket proposal.

I think that a plan of action at this point, now that the proposal -- the report has gone this far, is for this body to, in consultation I think with local land managers -- and I think Ken Thompson was here, he put a lot of time into developing the designated hunter proposal -- sit down and draft that a proposal to try to accomplish what you set forth or what you tried to do last year. And I think that in consultation 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

With these managers that a proposal can be developed that will Both accommodate cultural and traditional practices and the Gonservation of resources. And that a lot of the obstacles that potentially would come up before it would make it -- the proposal make it through the review process would be resolved at that time.

7

In reminding the Council, and I'm sure probably most of you if not all of you are aware that in drafting this proposal, of course, it will go before the Staff for review, and then will come back again for your consideration in the spring. And I2guess with that, I'll entertain questions, if the Chair desires.

14

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Before we get into questions. 15ale Kanen has parts of this that he would like to share with 13 that he's been involved with, so we'll give this time to D8le.

19

20 MR. KANEN: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. I worked with Ken Thompson on some of the draft of this, and it is a thick @@cument; it's really well done. And it's, I believe, designed 28 sort of address the issue on a statewide basis, and so there 2s a lot of material in here. And I just, for the Council's 25nsideration, wanted in particular to point out Page 25. 2here's about two pages there, Page 25, 26, a little bit on 27 27 particular, that I know Ken Thompson and myself and some of 2Be biologists that was the center of our focus for what we 20lt was probably at least one very likely and real doable and enforceable and implementable option for the characteristics Bhat we saw in Southeast Alaska. So I hope as you're going BDrough this document, you'll pay particular attention to those pages and give us some feedback. It's a real simple system. 34

35 Thank you.

36

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. You've all had a chance 80 read the document, do you have any comments or -- it's only 60 pages. I think what we'll probably do with this, it's an 4mportant document, that we're going to have to offer some 4esponse. So rather than rushing ourself to any kind of an 42tion, at this time I think we'll move some of that to another part of the agenda so that members will have a chance to read 4nd perhaps talk to each other, have some discussion about it 4nd then be more intelligent with it later on in the agenda. 4nd then be more intelligent with it later on in the agenda, so 40 ye'll give it whatever time it needs so that we can give it the 40 yerybody?

50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MS. GARZA: Yes.
3
4
        MS. ROBINSON: Yeah.
5
6
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Okay. Thank you, George.
7
8
        MR. SHERROD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
10
                          Charter renewal report.
11
12
        MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman, in your package you have
the charter renewal and that's -- that comes up, I believe,
≜∜ery two years. But in any event, it's up this year for
thenewal. And I don't know if Bill Knauer wanted to speak on it
m6re. But what they did was they incorporated all the
d7fferent Councils' concerns. And because some -- you know,
there were concerns identified from the different areas but
they pertain to all the Councils, so they were incorporated
20to the Council -- I mean into the charter that will go in
2his year. If you want to review it, if there's any comments
22 anything like that, I don't know if Bill wanted to add more
ôß it or what. But it's there for your review and your
2€commendation to go forward.
25
26
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. As soon as the Chair finds his
2∂py, we'll have some comments on it.
28
29
        MS. JORGENSEN:
                        Did you want to say something more?
30
31
       MR. KNAUER: Yeah.
32
       MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman, Bill would like to add
34me things.
35
36
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          Okay, Bill.
37
                     Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
        MR. KNAUER:
                                                Just a note of
agarification. This document has already gone forward to the
$\text{\text{\text{c}}}\createry for his signature. We anticipate that it would be
$1 gned very shortly, and it will be signed by the Secretary of
#Me Interior with concurrence by the Secretary of Agriculture.
430 ....
44
45
                          So this is just for our information?
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
46
47
                     That is correct.
        MR. KNAUER:
48
49
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you.
50
```

```
MR. ANDERSON: No action needed?
3
       MS. JORGENSEN: No action needed.
6
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll rubber stamp without objection,
Wolease.
       MR. ANDERSON: With the understanding that eventually
there may be amendments arise to the charter. What would be
the process?
12
1.3
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To amend?
14
15
       MR. ANDERSON: To amend.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To amend, I guess we'd have to start
here and work it through the system.
19
2.0
       MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
2.1
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          That's never been discussed but
Prece's no law against discussing it or trying it. Did you
Mave an amendment to offer?
2.5
       MR. ANDERSON: No, I don't. But I could see it down
Phe line as we develop consistent .....
2.8
29
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Sure. That shouldn't come as
any shock to anybody.
31
32
                       I think some people are looking for the
       MS. ROBINSON:
abarter, it's attached to the agenda. I believe that's .....
35
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Mim, for making me look a
36t more organized. Okay. In any case, by all means, if
addyone sees a need to offer change in this, we can certainly
$8nd a vehicle to get it to where it needs to in order for that
80 happen. But I guess anything would have to go all the way
#0 the Secretary, wouldn't it?
41
42
       MR. KNAUER:
                     That is correct, Mr. Chairman.
4.3
44
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          Thank you.
45
       MR. KNAUER: The -- something to point out is the
#Marter is only valid for two years. So every two years, there
48 an opportunity automatically as it comes for renewal to
₱₱opose changes.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Okay. We're flying right
Bhrough our agenda, folks. Annual report to Secretaries.
       MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman.
6
7
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John.
        MR. FELLER: After that remark, I guess I want to kind
off go back to the designated hunter. And it's just a comment,
maybe bring up something that we talked about last year when it
Was going -- when we were showing (ph) the designated hunter
f3r Sitka, I think it was Area 4. And correct me if I'm wrong,
1# did pass, right, on the Federal Subsistence level, at the
B5ard?
16
17
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         No.
18
19
       MR. FELLER: It didn't.
20
21
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No, that's one that didn't.
22
23
       MR. FELLER:
                     Okay.
2.4
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No. The -- what did pass was hunting
26om a boat.
27
       MR. FELLER: Okay. I got that mixed up then.
B@cause Lonnie and I, last year, wanted to tack our communities
36 that. And so I guess that's a mute point then.
32
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          I would suggest you guys move to Game
BBit 4, if you want to participate in that.
35
       MR. FELLER:
                     Okay.
36
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I don't know if that'll be a problem.
38 think when we discussed tacking other communities onto that,
39think it was the consensus of the Council that by limiting it
#0 an area it would be easier to consider and to represent.
And so if we choose to expand that, I think that's probably
₩2thin our prerogative. It's a good point.
43
44
        The designated hunter is an issue that was brought
$6 fore the Board from this region. Kodiak had similar concerns
About that. And after we brought it to the attention of the
Board, other representatives from other regions in the state
4mbraced that idea, and so it got converted from deer to moose
#0 caribou to a lot of other things. So there's a task force
50
```

that met about a month ago? About a month ago? And -- on that 2nformation regarding the report. That was the second meeting 3f the task force; Gabe attended the first one, I attended the second one and it's moving pretty fast.

5

So that's the reason I thought we just needed time to Feview some of the contents of that designated hunter report. And I think it's written very clearly, and I think anything that you would have concerns with or that you would like to \$Opport, I think it'll become very obvious as you read it. So We'll take time to do that.

12

Okay. Back to annual report to Secretaries. Carol, \$4n you give me some guidance on that?

15

MS. JORGENSEN: Sure, Mr. Chairman. On the annual report, as you know, we had an annual report go last year and we didn't have a lot of time to put that annual report in, so we did the best we could in identifying some of the issues and concerns. This year, we have quite a bit to report on what's been happening this last year. And as we look at our special actions and requests for reconsiderations, our proposals that went through, the various issues that we have dealt with in the last two meetings and this meeting, I think those are all things that we need to consider putting into the annual report.

So I did not -- there is no annual report, at this paint, done. Our annual report, I think, is due November 15th. 2And at the wish of the Council, I would like to just know -- you know, I'll draft up something, try to get a turnaround time and get it out to you right away in draft form, and then you add what you would like to see or what we could do is just adentify the issues here now, I could draft it up into the atter and send it to our Chairman. And, you know, as long as be feel we've covered the issues here, we could get it out in as expedient time. I'm open to either way.

37

38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Yeah, there's a lot to be 3@cluded. And there were several comments at this meeting, were you able to get those as they were being presented that w1sh to have that in the annual report?

42 43

MS. JORGENSEN: Yeah.

44 45

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Dolly.

46

MS. GARZA: I guess I would be in favor of just having \$8u continue, Carol, since you're very aware of what has \$8ppened during the last year. And the only thing I would like 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

to emphasis are the issues that were brought up today about the 2- you know, that this Council is very frustrated that we're not able to handle fisheries issues. That the majority of our constituents, you know, rely on fisheries' resources and that those concerns are coming to us and we're not able to take cotion, and that we would like to be able to take action as soon as possible. And, you know, if any way that a decision could be expedited so that we could start that process, that we would look forward to that.

10

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Good point. Thank you, Dolly.

12

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, have we considered maybe thanging the designated hunter to designated provider? We provide not only hunting but fishing and stuff for our tommunities. And I think that that's a issue that needs to be looked at.

18

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, that has been looked at, and I think they stuck with designated hunter just for terms of the porting right now. But hunter was a bone of contention and provider was the most attractive term. So, yeah.

23 24

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

25 26

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

27

MS. PHILLIPS: I was just reviewing our '93 letter. And one thing that I brought up earlier was that we need to build belief in our Council. And part of building belief in our Council that we are really going to accomplish something here is by following through on what we believe are important and accomplish something through on the public. And I'd like to let the Secretary of the public are trying to follow through on some of these requests and build belief in this system.

36

37 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So noted.

38

MS. LeCORNU: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to -- I think we're talking about annual report. I wanted to say something about the definitions of tribal and Native, and for all these parposes, we're treated as Natives and that is not a political astinction. So in this cast, we are losing our political force. And I was real glad to see Ray here from Sitka to bolster that idea that -- of self-determination, that we believe that we can do this ourselves. And I could encourage prople from Yakutat to Hydaburg to use that prerogative to exercise the amount of control that is being denied us politically.

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

And Richard also made mention the spiritual values. Well, that is the highest form of political values that we share. So I'd like that to be noted.

5

GHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, that's true and it's a good point. And that has been discussed at the Board level from firtually every region in the State. And so they've all been introduced to that. I haven't seen any rejection to that. At best, we get a little bit of a bewildered expression, but that's to be expected because they don't fully understand. But they haven't -- like I said, by not rejecting, I think it will be safe to assume that they're at least willing to show some support. But in order to be totally supportive, they have to have a lot better understanding than what they do. So we're in an education process on trying to explain to people that make that difference whenever we can. And that's a good point. And is's not a silent cry in the wilderness, it's something that we've been carrying forward, at least this last year.

20

21 Dolly.

22

MS. GARZA: Yeah. I guess the last thing I would like the make sure that we include in that is our discussion sterday on our intent to review the criteria for customary and tradition as well as the definition of Native and community and communities within communities.

28

MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman. Yeah. I'd like to follow of on what Dolly said there. Wrangell -- in Wrangell, we lost our right to subsist under the State management because we dadn't have any representation at their meeting right next door he respective. And that was about eight, ten years ago. Even hough other people spoke up for us, we were shot down. And so, this determination of the customary and traditional chiteria, I think we really need to emphasis our involvement on hat to the Secretary of Interior, so we have a handle on this, hat ever tools that we could use in this process. So that's all I have.

40

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those are good points. And I think something I need to remind you is that the people that are siving us problems in those areas are the State. I'm not signesting that the State has made it any easier or has become any more sensitive to those ideas. The Federal involvement and the Federal people that we're working with are continually asking what do we need to know, what aren't you telling us? What will make it better for us to help you? And so we include that in our language, and not everybody absorbs at the same 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

level. But I have to say that there's an effort there. So -but I'm not including the State at all when I talk about there
so light at the end of the tunnel. The Federal Government has
been more sensitive and more determined to make this
subsistence issue more compatible for the people that are
affected with it and by it.

Carol.

Carol.

10 MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman, one of the bullets I'd 11ke to put on the annual report is to request a response. I tealize the Secretary's extremely busy, but I do think that \$3me of the Councils maybe got -- per what I was talking to Mf. Knauer, some of them I think got some response. To date, we haven't and maybe that's still forthcoming, but it would -- 16d like to bullet in there that we really would like these 13sues addressed and responded to.

18

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, that's a good point. And as 20 on as we do get responses, we'll distribute those as soon as 20 get them, is that correct?

22 23

MS. JORGENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

24 25

MR. VALE: Mr. Chairman.

2627

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John.

28

MR. VALE: On the annual report, we already touched on \$\mathbf{t}\$ and I'm sure Carol wants to, you know, include this in \$\mathbf{t}\$here, but I'll hit it one more time. And that is I'd like to \$\mathbf{2}\$e a part of the report dedicated to the issues around habitat \$\mathbf{t}\$sotection, you know. And I'm sure we'll want to talk a little \$\mathbf{t}\$ about the TLMP process and how we can affect that process \$\mathbf{a}\$5 a part of our strategy for management of wildlife \$\mathbf{f}\$6pulations.

. 37

And in looking at our charter, you know, it outlines what we should have in our annual report. And I suggest and I think that might be a good format for listing our issues is the format in the charter for identifying current and alticipated subsistence uses and evaluating the need for the think that might be a good format to follow.

45

46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Speaking of TLMP, like I ##Intioned earlier, Dave Katz with the Southeast Alaska ##Intioned Council was called away to participate in the ##Ileconference and he just got back. Just to be postponed 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
again because we're taking a lunch break in a couple of
Minutes, and we're going to reconvene at 1:00 o'clock to hold
dur elections. And I don't think the animosity should last
Aonger than 3:00 o'clock on the elections. Probably 20 after,
5:30, about there, perhaps we'll probably be ready for that.
Will you be ready with the slide presentation then?
       MR. KATZ: We can. Mr. Chairman, are you suggesting
9:30 today to do that?
10
11
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Because TLMP is really fresh
đã the minds of people, and we just heard an excellent
presentation this morning. And perhaps, with your posture,
pasition, representation would probably enhance that.
15
16
       MR. KATZ: That sounds good. We'll be (indiscernible -
away from microphone) .....
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. So we're going to give

₽6u an extra five minutes during your lunch break. We'll take

alrecess at this time and be back at 1:00 o'clock.
23
       (Off record)
2.4
25
       (On record)
2.6
27
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. We'll come back to order.
№ Brtue of action taken yesterday, we postponed Item 6 which is
Môminations and Election of Officers. We have three officers
and the terms are for one year. So it's 1:00 o'clock, we have
alquorum, and the Chair will now declare nominations open.
all three at the same time or
3Be position at a time?
34
35
       MR. VALE: One at a time.
36
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: One at a time is agreeable with
@@erybody?
39
40
       MR. FELLER:
                    Yes.
41
42
       MR. GEORGE: One at a time.
43
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. So we'll do it one at a time.
48e'll do the Chairman first, the Vice Chairman and the
86cretary. So we're balloting on one position at a time.
Okay. Nominations are now open for the office of President.
48
49
       MS. GARZA: Chairman.
50
```

```
MR. GEORGE: Chairman.
3
4
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.
5
6
        MS. GARZA: For the Chairman?
7
8
        MR. GEORGE: For the Chairman.
9
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: For the Chairman, yeah. John.
10
11
12
        MR. VALE: Mr. Chairman, I nominate William Thomas.
13
14
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, William Thomas. Now, Gabe?
15
16
        MR. GEORGE: I'd like to nominate Dolly Garza.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, Dolly.
19
20
        MS. GARZA:
                    I decline.
21
22
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Dolly declines.
23
2.4
        MR. GEORGE:
                     I move nominations cease.
25
26
       MR. ANDERSON: Second Mr. George's nomination -- or
madtion.
28
29
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Move that nominations cease and
3€conded.
31
32
        MR. GEORGE:
                     Question.
33
34
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called, all those
35 favor say aye.
36
37
        IN UNISON:
                    Aye.
38
39
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign.
40
41
        (No opposing responses)
42
43
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion's carried.
                                                 I want to
#Mank you very much. It's not a position or an action that I
#5ke lightly, while I was very pleased and remain that way from
the support that I've gotten from each of you, the confidence
#Mat you've expressed in me, and I have the same confidence in
     And hopefully, we can continue on with a good
¶0oting (ph) that we've had in the past year.
50
```

```
2
        Okay. Nominations are now open for Vice President.
3
4
        MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman.
5
6
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vice Chair I say (ph).
7
8
        MR. ANDERSON: I nominate Gabe George as Vice Chairman.
9
10
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Gabe's been nominated. John.
11
12
       MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman, I move the nominations
ĉ∂ase.
14
1.5
        MR. VALE: Second.
16
17
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded that
$\text{$\text{$m}}$ inations cease. I want to make sure that -- discussion?
f@rther questions?
20
21
        MR. ANDERSON:
                       The question's called for.
22
23
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All those in favor say aye.
2.4
25
        IN UNISON: Aye.
26
27
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign?
28
29
        (No opposing responses)
30
31
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Gabe, congratulations.
32cretary. Nominations are now open for secretary.
33
34
        MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman.
35
36
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard.
37
38
        MR. DALTON: I nominate this young lady. I was looking
#0r her name, but I can't see it so .....
40
41
        MS. LeCORNU: Vicki LeCornu.
42
43
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vicki?
44
45
        MR. DALTON: Yes.
46
47
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vicki has been nominated.
48
49
        MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I nominate Dolly Garza if
50
```

```
that's .....
3
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.
4
5
        MR. ANDERSON: .... okay with her. Yes. Thank you.
6
7
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Dolly, Vicki. Is that spelled
With a Y or an I?
10
        MS. LeCORNU:
                      Τ.
11
12
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I?
13
14
        MS. LeCORNU: Um-hum. (Affirmative)
15
16
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay, thank you.
17
        MR. VALE: Move to close nominations.
18
19
        MS. GARZA: I'll decline my nomination.
20
21
22
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Dolly declines.
23
        MR. VALE: I'll wait on that for a minute.
2.4
25
26
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Were there further nominations?
What's the wish of the Council?
28
29
       MR. VALE: Move to close the nominations.
30
31
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved to close nominations
∄@r Secretary.
33
34
        MR. GEORGE: Second.
35
        MR. FELLER: I'll second that motion.
36
37
38
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and second. Discussion?
39
40
        MR. GEORGE: Ouestion.
41
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The question's been called, all in
42
₫âvor say aye.
44
45
        IN UNISON: Aye.
46
47
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed.
48
49
        (No opposing responses)
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries. Okay.
Congratulations to Gabe and Vicki on your elections. It
Sounded like kind of a loose run operation but when you don't
have any more people than this, that's how it goes. And while
It might appear that way during this process, it certainly
Asn't that way while we're working. So thank you again.
10
       MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman. If I didn't think you were
doing a good job, it wouldn't have been a loose process.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would any -- Gabe or Vicki like to
make any comments at this time? Okay. Thank you again.
16
       MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I ask that the Chair
dlispense with the Robert Rules of Order that -- with the
&Bection in this case in that there was no opposition. We
₩ôn't ask -- no Secretary so we can't ask the Secretary to cast
20unanimous ballot.
2.1
22
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.
23
2.4
       MR. ANDERSON: For the matter of record.
2.5
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Does it mean you want to do it
20 w or that we didn't because we didn't have a Secretary?
2.8
29
       MR. ANDERSON: No. I just wanted it on record that
dospense with the usual .....
31
32
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.
33
34
       MR. ANDERSON: ..... Secretary and .....
35
36
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right.
37
                      .... casting an unanimous ballot for
38
       MR. ANDERSON:
Ble three elected members.
40
41
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you. Okay. I was kind
♠₹ anticipating our presentation from the Conservation Society
#At when I said 1:30, I guess he took me very literally, which
48 good, I didn't have a better guess than that. So what's the
₩5sh of the Board that we can use up 10 minutes? Were there
€6mments that you would like to come back to or elaborate on
$7 mething? Dolly.
48
49
       MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman, under Item 8B, the Designated
50
```

Hunter Permitting System, I did hear comments from people in the audience regarding this issue and, perhaps, some of them hay choose to address the issue to this Council; that may be the of the reasons they're sitting here.

5

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. That's a good reminder. And if there is people in the audience that would wish to speak 80 the designated hunter issue, you're certainly welcome to do 90 at this time.

10

Okay. If at any time, in fact. The agenda isn't designed -- it was hard to design it to include what everybody have brought to the meeting. And if you don't see a provision on the agenda for that, feel free to interrupt at any to so that we can take time to hear whatever you might want to offer. Everything is important in this case. So we'll derainly appreciate that, and forgive us if we overlook asything because of a lack in our etiquette.

19 20

So -- Dolly.

21

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman. I guess in going over the Assignated hunter task force, you know, we did get a summary of the four different options. And I did not review them last fight so I wasn't prepared to discuss them. However, it first and I'm not sure how we should approach it. It's my Asderstanding that this report is going to all nine of the Regional Councils and that the full Federal Subsistence Board fill be reviewing the recommendations from the Councils and be Making a decision based on these recommendations.

32

I don't know if we should go over the report more darefully at this meeting and possibly make our recommendation at the next meeting. And if we do, perhaps we should come up with some criteria that we should be addressing when we go through these four recommendations. I know that certainly one that will be brought up is that we are looking at rural versus Native/non-Native, and some of these options don't address that. There are some other considerations that we need to the while we go through these options. And so maybe we dould just have some type of discussion on how we should set up dar process.

44

45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, those are good points and &bservations. Carol.

47

MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman, if we could have George #Agybe come back up and give us the time line so that we know if 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

we should be making a decision here or looking at options. 3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. 4 5 MR. ANDERSON: George Taylor? 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Huh? 8 9 MR. GEORGE: George Taylor. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: George Taylor, Taylor George. Gabe. 12 Mr. Chairman, while he's coming up to do 13 MR. GEORGE: that, I think there are some things that are real critical in the viewing these options. And of course, the biggest one that has come up over and over again is the rural/urban issue or the population issue and how we want to address that. So at least 18 my mind, I think that there needs to be a lot of demographics, a lot of information brought out as far as the  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{D}6}$  pulation of communities in Southeast and how we want to address them and who we will probably be locking out or &2cluding the opportunity to have a designated hunter and all @@r different scenarios. So I think there needs to be more 2Aformation presented so that we can make a reasonable 25commendation. 2.6 27 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. A lot of what you said is very 28ue. In fact, a lot of those very considerations are trying 20 be considered in our approach to the Kenai Peninsula, if I'm 80t mistaken. Is that a fairly accurate statement, George, as far as you know? 32 MR. SHERROD: If what you're saying is a lot of the 34dqment calls that will be -- are important in this context are important in the Kenai Peninsula, yes, that is true. If I 86uld simply restate, and I obviously didn't do it very @Tearly, the four options that are laid out in this report are 88t intended to be the sole answer to the question at hand. 3Dey are intended to provide guidance in how regional councils 40 individuals or the Board might evaluate, formulate options **#**♠ deal with this. 42 43 One of the things that I think became really clear in #Me fairly intensive and honest deliberations that took place 45er the course of almost a week when you put the two different A6etings together was the recognition that situations across #Me state vary considerably. And that probably, we're not 48ing to see a I will take this option and it'll work **⊕**Gerywhere or I'll take this option and it may not even work

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

 $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$  ithin all the communities of a region. That the options there are to provide some sense of guidance and tools that will be Bailored then to specific conditions.

Gabe mentions demographics, and I would -- I believe he's probably referring to the fact that in the community Marvest option we talked about, could you apply it to a large community. And the general consensus was no, if you reach a community that has a population of a thousand people -- and when I talk about community here, I'm talking about a town or a willage, not necessarily a group of -- a social group of people within that -- that you probably could not apply a community harvest. That does not mean that in an area, you might not be able to apply the community harvest option to smaller communities.

16

And I did some really quick demographics when these things came -- were developed, and the community harvest option would accommodate or could potentially accommodate 263 26mmunities statewide and roughly 20,000 people could actually the In, if you took that as being valid, but in the cases like 21 the Southeast where a large number of communities, Angoon, possibly some of the smaller communities, could be blanketly theated with a community harvest option. Sitka and stuff might be a combination, perhaps, a community harvest for distinct social enclaves and, perhaps, a designated hunter from its system to deal with the other components.

That the charge I think to the Regional Councils is not 80 rubber stamp this report and send it back or to critique Béavily this report and send it back. I would think that the Bêxt step is to actually take what is there and try to develop Boposals and send them forward. And as I said, when the Béoposals go forward, they will receive the type of scrutiny Bhat will then -- it'll be analyzed and that analysis will come Béck again to this body for their deliberation.

So I think the next step is probably to try to draw from what has been done and develop proposals and send them forward, not to deliberate a long time as to the content of -- of as to the application of any one of those options to a specific case. Don't try to make it fit the case, develop your option that will fit the case as you understand it being faral residents knowledgeable of the practices of your area.

46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. That help? Okay. It's ##dighty and it's new to a lot of people. And even as I listen, 48m gaining more introductions and relationship to it as well. 42ven though we spent a lot of time at meetings with some 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

pretty in-depth dialogue with people from around the State, Some of the things that you're giving us now is very helpful, even as I listen. So I appreciate that. MR. SHERROD: Thank you. 6 7 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard. 10 11 MR. ANDERSON: What kind of -- what's the time frame, George, that we are dealing in? Are you wanting this done by the next meeting or do we have a year? 14 15 MR. SHERROD: Well, if it is to -- if there -- excuse If there is to be a regulation put forward this time, it's \$\dightarrow\$ ing to have to fall within the period of public comment, Which Cheryl, do you have a -- I mean, Carol, do you have a talendar? I don't have a calendar with me. When's public 20mment close? 2.1 2.2 MR. KNAUER: November 11th. 2.3 MR. SHERROD: November 11th. So something would have 25 be actually submitted to the Staff for analysis by that 25osure date. So to some degree, the call is up to you. 2Mink that there is certainly a feeling that whether this body 28bmits a proposal or not that -- or if it doesn't -- let me 2ay if this body does not submit a proposal, it's highly likely Bhat the Staff will generate one because the Board felt an 8bligation to try to deal with the problem that was brought Before it last year. And since those proposals are now dead, BBat something will develop -- will be developed and put ∄erward. 35 36 So I suppose I could say you're not obligated to d∉velop something, you will have an opportunity to review Batever is developed come spring. But I think it's certainly 89thin the scope of this Board to move forward and actively 40 tempt to develop something by that November date, not Adecessarily by the end of business today or tomorrow but by #Mat November date. 4.3

R & R COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. That's good information

#Whink we're going to have from our agenda in the remaining time #Detween tomorrow -- the end of today, tomorrow, perhaps commit #Ome time to consider proposals around this. We initiated the #Ome to I would feel like our input would be an interesting

\$6 cause what I'm considering now, and given the room that I

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

44

50

```
source to the Board or to Staff even.
               Thank you, George. We're going to go back to
our time schedule again. Appreciate you helping us with the
5ime and the information.
7
       MR. SHERROD: You bet (ph).
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Dave Katz, will you take the
hot seat and we'll ask you questions before you say anything?
No. The reason I wanted Dave to use this time is because he
Was here during the presentation on the Tongass Management Plan
this morning and had some observations, and his presentation is
$\delta\ ing to cover the same areas. And we'll have a chance to
$5mpare the directions and some of the attitudes about the
thing, and we might find more similarities than we're
Anticipating. So I'll let Dave go ahead and preface his
temarks. He's also -- are we going to have a slide show as
₩@11?
2.0
21
       MR. KATZ: Yes. Andy Romanoff from our office is
32eking an extension cord. Do you have one?
23
       MR. ROMANOFF: It's on the way.
2.4
25
       MR. KATZ: Okay, it's on the way. Mr. Chairman, thank
Ŷðu very much, and I really appreciate the opportunity to
28dress you. I should have been here during the break getting
29t these maps and let me just apologize in advance. I thought
Bhat I would show you -- I want to do two things. The first
Bhing I want to do is talk to you about subsistence and SEACC's
$2sition on it and talk to you about subsistence and
83aditional use in the context of the Tongass Land Management
Blan Revision. And the next thing we want to do is show you
35mething about Wild and Scenic Rivers. These are two
defferent topics that I think should be of interest to you as a
Bødy.
38
        So let me start by showing you the map of the habitat
€ônservation areas the that Forest Service was talking about
#his morning, which they did not show you. Let me find it
Mêre. Here they are, okay. And forgive the condition of this
Aap, if you would, it's seen a lot of travel around Southeast.
44
45
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Here comes an assistant, Dave.
46
47
       MR. KATZ: Okay.
48
49
       (Off record comments - setting up map)
50
```

```
MR. KATZ: Okay. Mr. Chairman and members of this
Gouncil, this is what the Forest Service .....
        (Off record comments - microphone)
6
       MR. KATZ: This is what the Forest Service is going to
Be proposing as a land management strategy, as a wildlife
Conservation strategy on the Tongass. They will be proposing
this tomorrow in their amendment to the existing Forest Plan,
the existing TLMP. Later, in another several months, they will
tôme out with an actual draft revision. So this is the
andendment and they will then consider this with a lot of other
W4ldlife information in the revision and decide whether or not
they actually want to finalize this.
16
17
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The green, is that the areas of
Amendment?
19
20
       MR. KATZ:
                  Yes.
                         There are two things on here.
21e large habitat conservation areas and medium habitat
20nservation areas, the rules for them are exactly the same but
2Be sizes of them and the distribution of them is different.
24 order to have viable well-distributed populations of
25 Sldlife all across the Tongass National Forest, which the
£6rest Service is required to do under the National Forest
Management Act, they have determined to set up a series of
Waldlife conservation areas, habitat conservation areas spread
20ross the forest. And this is the series that they are
Boposing.
31
32
        There are large habitat conservation areas which are in
3 Been and there are medium habitat conservation areas which are
3A yellow. All of these would be off limits to development;
Bhat is that they would be off limits to logging, and the rules
$6r roading and other development would also be designed to
donserve the area for habitat. So this is what they'll be
$8oposing. This is something different that has (sic) been
done on the forest before, never had they set aside areas like
this.
41
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: When you say medium and large, is
#Bat making reference to area size?
44
45
       MR. KATZ:
                  Yes.
46
47
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
48
49
       MR. KATZ: That's what it makes reference to. And the
50
```

theory behind that is you have to have enough intact habitat to Support viable populations of animals and allow them to Interact with one another. And then from there, the habitat conservation areas are spread out across the forest in such a way that the -- over the long term, animal populations are considered to be able to migrate among all these areas. So that you can do a lot of management, a lot of logging, essentially, and the areas that are white on the map.

But if you have this kind of an arrangement of habitat \$\delta\$t asides, you will still maintain viable populations, because the animals will have reserves and the reserves are close \delta\$ough together that they can migrate among the reserves over the. And that, the Forest Service thinks, will maintain \delta\$able populations as they are required to do under the National Forest Management Act.

There is something else on this map as well which is -- which are goshawk protection areas, that's the other thing.
When they looked at goshawks, they found out that goshawks had which large home ranges in some part of the Tongass that it looked as though this habitat conservation area strategy alone would not protect them. And people have petitioned to put them the Endangered Species Act, not SEACC, that is the Southeast Abaska Conservation Council, we did not do that but a couple of groups, a group outside of Alaska petition. And so the Forest service is also going to protect goshawk nests.

Here is an example of some goshawk nest protection. These are actual goshawk home ranges. They put some radios on Joshawks when they -- and they tracked where they went. And When they got enough trackings for these goshawks, they said Well, we're going to stay -- for the time being, we're going to Stay out of their home ranges. For places where they didn't Bave radio telemetry data and yet they found nests, what they down average out the home ranges that they got from the Badios and put simply protective circles around the nests that Baey didn't have radio data for.

And on the North Tongass, they put two mile radii Afound the nests in which logging is off limits. And on the South Tongass, they are putting 8.4 mile radii around these Aests. And there are -- you probably can't see from where you Afe, but there are small circles and -- here, here's another Aest. Let's see. And they are doing that for all nests Aescovered prior to 1994. For nests discovered this year, they Afe only adopting a 600 acre buffer around that nest.

48
49 And there is -- unfortunately I can't tell you what the 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

logic is behind this because I don't think there is any. And 20 be just perfectly blunt, I think the reason why they're doing it is because they found out that if they actually protected those nests in 1994, it would take too much timber but of where they wanted to cut. So they did not adopt these measures for goshawk nests found in 1994 but only for those up until that year. That is, the big protections were for ones Before 1994 and the smaller protections were for ones discovered this year.

So I thought I would show you this map so that you had \$0me idea of what the Forest Service is going to do. When they dêtermined to do this, the first thing they did was figure out where it's protected already. You know, the things in blue are wilderness areas, the things in pink are legislative roadless afeas throughout the forest. And the first thing that the biologists committee did was say what's protected already, let's stick our habitat conservation areas there; the lands that are already off limits; and after that, we'll decide whether we need to protect more. And they decided they did need to protect more and came out with this as one arrangement this kind of network of set asides.

23

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Are those new designations?

242526

MR. KATZ: Yes. These .....

27

28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Or is that an expansion of existing algorithms?

30

MR. KATZ: Well, within wildness areas or roadless areas, that's already been done, there's nothing new there. And you're drawing pictures inside a wilderness area that's already off limits, it doesn't change anything. But for the offices outside of present set asides, these would be new designations.

37

Now, bear in mind two things. This is an interim designation. It's just until -- this is an amendment to the existing plan, it's just until they do the next Forest Plan extrision. They're going to be looking at this, the new, big draft revision will be out in the summer, next summer. And in that, they will either affirm what they've done or say well, we've got some new theory that -- some new approach that's going to protect wildlife populations across the Tongass as we're required to do. And so it's possible that they won't adopt this. We expect them to adopt something like this but, you know, we know -- we're just about certain that's what -- this is what they're going to propose tomorrow or on Tuesday.

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
But as far as .....
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What's the attitude of your council,
do you think that's too conservative or too extensive?
       MR. KATZ: Well, no, they're not too extensive; and in
Fact, they are too conservative and let me tell you why.
There's a couple of reasons why. This plan received a big blue
9ibbon panel peer review of conservation experts from around
the country. What those experts said was that this plan was a
φφοd start but it didn't go far enough, that if anything, you
12eded to protect more habitat.
1.3
14
        On the Tongass, much of the Tongass is very fragmented
Māturally. There's -- two-thirds of the Tongass is rock ice
a6d muskeg. The -- on the north end especially, you know, we
know our lands are very incise and so habitats are naturally
$@parated from one another. And the experts said that, in
their peer review, that they thought that this might not be
€flough.
21
22
       Here's a couple of things that they leave out.
age no travel corridors on this map. That is a question that
₩As asked earlier, and I was just delighted to hear somebody go
25ght to the heart of the matter. There are no travel
26rridors on this map, there are only the habitat conservation
27eas. And if you're going to allow logging all around the
M&bitat conservation areas, what you're doing is creating
29 lands of habitat in a sea of clearcuts, and that's not what
₹0u want to do. You want to make sure that you have travel
dorridors.
32
        In addition, what's left out of this is management for
8Me area in-between these habitat conservation areas. I mean
B5w is a deer from this area in Honker Divide going to know to
36t over to here? Well, they're not going to know. And so you
Bave to provide corridors and you have to provide management
$8r those areas, management for the areas that are outside,
BBat's called the matrix, that's the term of art they use. So
♦0u have to provide corridors and matrix management. None of
that is in the present plan.
42
43
       And in addition, they don't protect goshawk nests for
4994.
45
46
       MS. ROBINSON: From what?
47
48
       MR. KATZ: I'm sorry?
49
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

```
MS. ROBINSON: Repeat that.
                   They are not protecting goshawk nests that
        MR. KATZ:
Are found in 1994 except with a 600 acre what they call a post-
Sudging area instead of an 8.4 mile radius circle around it.
7
        MS. ROBINSON: How many miles is 600 acres?
8
9
        MR. KATZ: Oh, gosh.
10
11
        MR. ANDERSON: It's about one-third.
12
1.3
        MR. KATZ:
                  An acre is -- 640 acre- -- no.
14
15
        MS. ROBINSON:
                       Well, just a rough estimate.
16
17
        MR. ANDERSON: Well, an acre is like 220 feet square,
and I right?
19
20
        MR. KATZ:
                  Yeah.
21
22
        MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
                              That gives me a rough idea.
23
2.4
        MR. KANEN: Forty-four thousand square feet an acre.
25
26
        MR. ANDERSON: Well, 2 x 2 is .....
27
28
                  There's 640 acres.
        MR. KATZ:
29
30
        MR. KANEN: You get about 640 acres per square mile.
31
32
        MR. KATZ: Yeah, 640.
33
34
        MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
35
36
        MR. KATZ: Six hundred and forty acres in a square
mile.
38
39
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Six hundred and forty acres a
square mile.
41
                   So that's right, it's about one square mile
42
        MR. KATZ:
#Bstead of, you know, .....
44
45
        MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
46
47
        MR. KATZ:
                  ..... an eight mile.
48
49
        MS. ROBINSON: As compared to 8.something you said?
50
```

MR. KATZ: Yeah. That's just the radius or what's the area, area is the Pi R squared. I haven't figured it out, but that's the eight mile radius; obviously, it's a lot less brotection. 7 MR. GEORGE: We'll wait. 8 9 MR. KATZ: So at any rate, over the ..... 10 11 MR. GEORGE: We'll wait while you figure it out. 12 13 MR. KATZ: Well, we can do it. I mean what is it, Pi R **\$4**uared. So 64 x 3, it's 12, 18, 19 -- 192 square miles about 45 opposed to one square mile. So there's a big difference. 16 17 This is a half-measure, okay, we like this in that they're finally starting to actually deal with the fact that  $$\psi 0$$ u need to protect wildlife populations on the forest. 20n't like it because it's only a half-measure, and once again, 30me of these habitat conservation areas have had logging in 2Dem and they've moved some of them to allow logging. So the DBotection of wildlife is still not fully at the top of the 24st here. 2.5 One thing which is important about this map is that it Mas -- it may not have anything to do with subsistence areas. Okay. And that was one of the things which I noticed about the 29esentation of the Forest Service earlier, which was, you Rhow, I thought a reasonable presentation but it said nothing about subsistence. Where are the important subsistence areas Bêre? 33 34 In the material that I've given to you, I suggest that Bhe Forest Service is still not protecting subsistence. And if 36u turn to the -- this particular sheet, this is one of the --Bhis is a sheet that was photocopied out of the recent Ushk Bay 88mber sale. It's in Peril Strait up in the Sitka area. Bhere for the subsistence hearing on it, which was I guess a 40ttle over a year ago, and the testimony was very strong Adjainst that timber sale. That timber sale takes place right 41 here. Ushk Bay, Poison Cove, Deep Bay, it's all in here. MB. Kitka's land, Herman Kitka's land, was excluded from this. 4\pihey're not -- I believe they're not going in there right now. 45 46 Thank you. Anyway, where am I? Here we are. That #Imber sale is right here; Peril Strait in-between Baronof and

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

Chichagof Islands; a very heavily used subsistence area. This shows you that this approach doesn't get to subsistence. And

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

the Ushk Bay timber sale came out after they knew they were going to adopt something like this. This arrangement is about two year -- two or three years old at this point. And the Ushk Bay timber sale came out and here is the boiler plate language that the Forest Service is using: It is not possible to lessen harvest in one area and concentrate it another area without Impacting one or more rural communities in important subsistence use areas. This is the boiler plate language that appears in every single timber sale now, every one.

If we -- we have to log, if we don't log one place, wê'll have to log another place, and the impacts are all the same and, therefore, it's okay to do it. And under ANILCA, Section 810, they are required to make these findings that they're using the least amount of land possible and there's some other findings Staff will make. But basically, the subsistence areas still are not being protected.

So I am suggesting that this body consider passing a 20 solution in favor of a subsistence land use designation in 21 to Tongass Land Management Plan. A subsistence LUD would 20 ntain standards and guidelines that the Forest Service had to 23 in managing areas that are important to community 34 bsistence. The Peril Strait area is just one example, 25 viously there are others, you know, down south and all over

2% Tongass where timber sales go in and the Forest Service 17nds some reason to say they're doing the best they can.

So the point of what I've said so far is that even after this plan is out, the Forest Service is still doing the same thing for subsistence that they had been doing in the past, which is not really protecting it. And based on that, I am encouraging this body to think about a subsistence land use designation.

35

The problem with the subsistence land use designation \$\frac{3}{8}\$ that whenever you enclose one thing -- whenever you protect \$\frac{3}{8}\$e thing, you are by definition not protecting the stuff \$\frac{3}{8}\$at's on the outside. And what you want to make certain is \$\frac{4}{8}\$bat there is no lessening of protection for other subsistence \$\frac{4}{2}\$otections in those areas which are not included within the \$\frac{3}{8}\$bsistence LUD. What you would do would be to submit -- in \$\frac{4}{8}\$bsistence hearings or otherwise, communicate to the Forest \$\frac{5}{6}\$rvice those areas which each community wants protected for \$\frac{6}{6}\$bsistence, get them to include that, designate that for \$\frac{4}{8}\$ubsistence in the new land management plan.

And the areas could be quite specialized. I mean,

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
Where people from Hoonah go importantly to harvest subsistence
resources, where people from Kake could go, where, you know,
Beople from each community could go. I have not submitted to
‡ou any suggested language for such a resolution because I
5hink that that language would need to be worked out among
Subsistence users themselves, you know, perhaps in conjunction
With SEACC since we have an interest in it.
        And now, I should tell you that SEACC is a coalition of
16 conservation groups in 12 communities throughout Southeast
Alaska, from Ketchikan all the way up to Yakutat. And so, you
know, I know some of you are familiar with us and others may
13t be. But .....
14
1.5
        MR. GEORGE: Okay. I can't quite hear you.
16
17
        MR. KATZ: Sorry. I'm sorry. Some of you I know are
familiar with SEACC and others may not be quite as familiar.
BOt subsistence is a very, very important matter for us, and so
20wanted to bring -- you know, just show you this and make sure
ৡou know that in the Southeast Alaska Conservation Council you
Mave a very strong alley for subsistence uses.
23
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Well, let's take a look at
25ur slides, and then .....
2.6
27
        MR. KATZ:
                   Thank you.
2.8
29
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ..... we'll see if we got any
addestions or barbs or anything to throw at you after the .....
31
32
                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
        MR. KATZ:
33
34
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Our potato -- our tomato did get
35fter than yesterday.
36
37
       MR. KATZ: Okay. Thanks, I can't wait, I like
B8matoes.
39
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So we'll -- Dolly, did you have a
&⊅mment?
42
43
        MS. GARZA: Yeah, I have a suggestion before we do the
$1 ide show is we do have a letter that Carol is going to draft
#5 send to Bob Vaught. I never did quite understand his last
Alame.
47
48
      CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vaught.
49
50
```

```
MS. GARZA: Requesting that we have a rural Native
person involved in the planning team, and that the Southeast
Advisory Council be informed and involved with the TLMP
process.
         Perhaps, we can put in that letter a request that we
want a discussion on subsistence land use designation areas.
And so it would go as one letter, and it would hopefully be a
Tetter that would be responded to.
9
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Good.
10
11
       MR. KATZ: Thank you.
12
13
       MR. VALE: Mr. Chairman.
14
15
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John.
16
17
        MR. VALE: I'd just like to echo that just a little
bat, you know, I've been groping here myself for a solution as
to how to protect important habitats for subsistence.
think we've been presented with a very good, excellent
31 aggestion for how to protect important habitats in this
20bsistence LUD zones. I think that's a terrific idea that we
abould follow through on.
25
                          Mim.
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
2.6
27
       MS. ROBINSON: Just a real simple question is do you
28ys have a possible suggestion for resolution, an actual draft
29 something?
30
31
        MR. KATZ: We can certainly work one up, I don't think
32 would be all that difficult to do. Before presuming to do
BBat, I wanted to .....
34
35
       MS. ROBINSON: Yeah.
36
37
       MR. KATZ: .... come to the body first.
38
39
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.
40
41
        MS. PHILLIPS: Did you say the white zones on the map
Are available for timber sales?
43
44
        MR. KATZ: Yes. Of course, there's not timb- -- there
45n't timber in all of those white zones. I mean, this all
Abong here is mainly mountain and so forth, but in places such
43 Prince of Wales Island, much of the area that is white is
48ailable for -- all the area that is white is available for
49mber where there is some there.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard.
       MR. DALTON: Yes. There's two or three questions that
5 would like to ask of the gentleman. Was something like this
designed before they invaded Tongass National Forest Service on
dlearcutting?
9
       MR. KATZ: Before they .....
10
11
       MR. DALTON: Before the clearcutting started in
$@utheast Alaska, .....
14
       MR. KATZ: No.
1.5
16
       MR. DALTON: .... was that design made to protect the
habitat?
18
19
      MR. KATZ: The purpose of this is to protect wildlife
Mabitat.
2.1
22
       MR. DALTON: You didn't answer my question.
₹8u a question. Did the Forest Service make that plan before
Phey started clearcutting?
25
2.6
       MR. KATZ: No. No, certainly not.
27
2.8
       MR. DALTON: That's all I needed to have you answer.
29
30
       MR. KATZ: Yeah.
31
32
       MR. DALTON: Why is it necessary now for this
$articular motion if -- with this other -- how does it verse
84th clearcutting and habitat area protection?
35
36
       MR. KATZ: Well, the Forest Service .....
37
38
       MR. DALTON: Is there versatility there?
39
40
       MR. KATZ: I'm sorry, Mr. Dalton?
41
42
       MR. DALTON: Is there a variation there?
43
44
       MR. KATZ: The Forest Service .....
4.5
46
       MR. DALTON: How does it verse the habitat with the
&Tearcutting where it shows on the white spot, are they still
48tending to clearcut? There's still animals there.
49
50
```

```
MR. KATZ: That's correct. And they are .....
3
       MR. DALTON: How are you going to chase them into that
green area .....
6
       MR. KATZ: Good question.
7
       MR. DALTON: .... for their protection (ph)?
10
       MR. KATZ: Good question. And please remember that I'm
hot from the Forest Service, you know, I'm from the Southeast
Alaska Conser- -- ....
14
       MR. DALTON: Well, I needed to ask you that
destion, .....
16
17
       MR. KATZ: ..... Conservation Council.
18
19
       MR. DALTON: ..... and I needed an answer.
20
21
       MR. KATZ: No. The theory is that you must protect
2arge blocks of habitat in order to give wildlife populations a
2Bance to exist. And so you find out where some good habitat
28, and of- -- and that has something to do with the kind of
25mber you have in there. It's got to be good timber. There's
26me standards for it. And you just set that area off limits
and you say well, that's as good as we can do. But on the area
28 the outside, they're going to be clearcutting. That's why
20u have to be very careful about how you clearcut, whether you
@Dearcut at all, and that's why you've got to have wildlife
dorridors among these different set asides.
32
       MR. DALTON: I guess that's one of the reasons why that
84 onah Totem Corporation stopped their own timber of
& Searcutting, because there was entirely too many animals
Robbiled off by clearcutting. And that's one of the reasons why
₩₹ still got some timber standing in Hoonah area. But that
38ill doesn't prove anything as far as Tongass National Forest
Service, they're still clearcutting outside of our own
$6undary. But Hoonah boundary is over 380 square miles .....
41
42
       MR. KATZ: Um-hum.
43
       MR. DALTON: .... that used to be managed by Hoonah
$6ople, Kake and Angoon was the same way.
46
47
       MR. KATZ: Um-hum.
48
49
       MR. DALTON: And Craig and Klawock had their
50
```

boundaries. Sitka had, Juneau had. And I think this is where &e're going to have to go by tribal management, if it needs to Be.

4

- 5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Richard. Further questions, comments? We're going to have time for more questions and comments after the slide show. The slide show is going to be in conjunction with the comments that were made.
- 10 MR. KATZ: Okay. Briefly, do you want to -- let me 1\(\text{htroduce Andy Romanoff who is our Wild and Scenic Rivers \(\text{Lordinator}\), and Andy will tell you about the slide show.
- MR. ROMANOFF: Hi. I want to thank all of you for allowing Staff the time to come and make our presentations and to talk to you about some of the ideas that we're pursuing and the think that you may also be interested in.
- One of the means of providing additional habitat protection and protection for subsistence customary and traditional uses and other interests on the Tongass and also in providing the linkages between some of these habitat conservation areas is the Wild and Scenic Rivers process. This process is actually a component of the Forest Service's process and work under the Tongass Land Management Plan.
- And over the last couple years, SEACC has been 28 aveling throughout the region talking to various folks in 20 mmunities around the Panhandle trying to find out just what 30 vers are most important for customary and traditional uses, 50 recreation, for commercial fisheries and other uses that 32 ople care and are concerned about. And we have complied much 35 this information into a draft proposal that we are planning 84 present to the Forest Service sometime in the upcoming few 35 nths in hopes that it will be incorporated into the Tongass 86 nd Management Plan alternatives.
- In the original supplement to the draft, TLMP, back in 391, the Forest Service evaluated Tongass rivers and determined that 112 were possibilities. They then further narrowed the 41st down to 24 rivers to receive this sort of protection, 12 6½ those -- I believe it's 12 -- are already protected. And there are approximately 1,500 first order streams on the Téngass, streams that actually run into the ocean, into the saltwater. And it's our belief and the belief of many citizens throughout the Tongass that one dozen rivers is far too few out the 17 million acre rain forest with over 1,500 streams that the into the ocean.

49 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
So this slide show which is currently being taken
around the Panhandle by SEACC; it was in Haines last night,
Bt's been in Ketchikan, Port Protection, Whale Pass, it's going
to Petersburg and Wrangell next week and in Juneau two weeks
From now. We're planning on taking it to all communities that
we can. And we're wanting to take this draft proposal that
We've put together with the help of many people throughout the
Songass back to the people to make sure that these rivers are,
Indeed, the ones that people feel should be protected and
deserve to be protected for subsistence purposes.
12
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Are you set up to where somebody can
1t3in -- .....
14
15
       MR. ROMANOFF: I'm all set up, I just wanted to give a
beief analysis.
17
18
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... somebody can run the projector
and you make comments as it goes along?
2.1
       MR. ROMANOFF: Um-hum. Yeah.
2.2
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          That will probably help, because I
RAow that during your presentation, you'll be repeating some of
Lhe same thing.
26
27
       MR. ROMANOFF: Right, that's true.
2.8
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I would like for you to place an
amphasis on the comparison of streams that are there compared
80 the ones that are designated, the 1,500 compared to the 34
38ic). Understand?
33
34
       MR. ROMANOFF: Not completely.
35
36
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Well, there's only a few streams that
are being considered for protection now, right?
38
39
       MR. ROMANOFF: Right, correct.
40
41
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. That's where I want you to
₱2ace an emphasis .....
43
44
       MR. ROMANOFF: Um-hum.
45
46
      CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... in your presentation, if you
₩ðuld.
48
49
       MR. ROMANOFF: On those streams that are being looked
50
```

```
at by the Forest Service?
3
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: (Nods affirmatively.)
5
       MR. ROMANOFF: Okay. The show does some of that.
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Yeah. Why don't we go ahead
and start with that and then .....
10
       MR. ROMANOFF: Okay.
11
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Everybody's waiting. Now, this is X-
tâted, so those of you that -- if this is against any of your
merals, you might excuse yourself.
16
        (Off record comments - setting up projector)
17
18
       MR. ROMANOFF: The Tongass rivers are important to
$0utheast Alaskans for a number of reasons; for salmon, for
30bsistence, for wildlife, for tourism, for recreation,
20mmercial fishing and habitat. The rivers of the Tongass are
22ntral to our way of life. They are our economic backbone
providing jobs, food and security for thousands of Southeast
Alaskans. As residents of Southeast, we cannot avoid having
25rong ties to rivers. In this northern mountainous
26vironment, our river valleys are critical suppliers of
20bsistence foods, including salmon, deer and various berries.
28
29
       Our network of rivers is an integral part of the strong
30bsistence lifestyle found in Southeast. All five species of
Bacific salmon spawn and rear in our rivers; dolly varden,
dMar, steelhead and various trout are also abundant in many
30 ngass streams. These fish create world-renown commercial and
3∯ort fisheries.
                 The commercial salmon harvest in Alaska has a
$31ue of $390,000,000.00 in direct income to the vessel owners.
38he rivers of the Tongass in Southeast contribute very heavily
80 this cash.
38
39
        The importance of tourism also cannot be understated.
Over one million visitors came to Alaska last year, making
#ourism the fastest growing industry in the state. Rivers are
#2significant draw to visitors, whether for people to take in
#Be beauty of a river of ice, to sport fish or to watch someone
41se catching a fish, or to experience Alaska in a wilder
₫āshion.
46
        There are at least six rivers in Southeast that are
&arrently used for commercial expeditions; the Alsek, the Tat,
#De Mendenhall, the Stikine, the Chilkat and the Admiralty lake
50
```

And river network. Many more rivers have such potential but Dave yet to be utilized. Honker Divide, for example, is a Unique series of lakes, rivers and bays that can take canoeists from one side of Prince of Wales Island to the other.

5

In addition to people uses, an old growth forest in a Fiver valley is absolutely critical wildlife habitat, it provides food in its productive and lush understory; and the Parge, old trees provide shelter from winds and snow. Some of bûr native animals are at the northern extent of their deographical range, and the warm, protected river valleys of Southeast provide food and cover during the harsh winter months.

14

The productive streamside forests, commonly called the figurian zones, are essential to the survival of most species that live in our region, especially salmon.

18

Are these lights too bright?

19 20

The density of plants and animals in these riparian 20nes outnumbers those in other areas of the forest by more 20nes five times.

2425

Thank you.

26

Should Southeast Alaskans stand by and gloat that our flasheries appear to be in good health and that our rivers are lill wild and free? There are hundreds of thousands of dams the United States drowning the life of out of 600,000 flaverine miles. Additionally, the nation's rivers have long leen used as sewage channels ridding cities of their waste. It appears that now is the time to assure that the fate of the flavers in the Lower 48 is not repeated on the Last Frontier.

Citizens from across Southeast have been working hard Bo protect fishing, hunting, subsistence, tourism and Becreation by protecting a few of Southeast's greatest rivers Boder the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Congress passed Bois Act in 1968 as a direct response to the rapid degradation of America's rivers. American citizens urged Congress to pass De Act in order to seek balance in the management of our Action's river resources. The Act is designed to do two Maings; one, to protect a portion of the nation's rivers from Development and, two, to provide for recreational and non-demaging forms of river use.

47

There is considerable flexibility within the Wild and Senic Rivers Act, it does not interfere with hunting, fishing 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

and recreation. In fact, the Act was designed by people who were interested in protecting these activities. Under the Act, communities and interested citizens can determine what kind of management they want for the rivers that are important to them. Some Wild and Scenic Rivers allow nearly every conceivable activity except dams, other designations provide broad undeveloped corridors.

The Forest Service is currently looking for Wild and \$0enic Rivers on the Tongass and will be considering rivers in the Tongass Land Management Plan. TLMP will tell us how the F0rest Service intends to use Tongass resources, including f3vers. In order to be designated as wild or scenic, a river, \$ team or estuary; it's not limited to just freshwater systems; thist possess at least one outstandingly remarkable value. That t6 lue can be recreational, scenic, fish and wildlife, geologic, t1 storic, cultural or some other value.

SEACC has been working with Southeast Alaskans to aleate a draft Wild and Scenic Rivers proposal listing those alvers that are most important to Southeast Alaskans. We'll be alvering this proposal to the Forest (sic) very soon, but first alea need your ideas. We want to know what your favorite rivers ale. Right now from Southeast, in our proposal, we have about as rivers listed.

Some of these rivers are the Antler River. The Antler R&ver is one of the four main rivers that flows into Berners B&y about 40 miles north of Juneau and has large runs of many species of salmon, a large hooligan spawning area and is a dommon recreation site as one can land by float plane on the AAtler Lake and then travel down the river. This shows the donfluence of the Antler and Berners Rivers up in Berners Bay. 3 Four of these rivers; Antler, Berners, Gilkey and the Lace R5 ver; are all potentially threatened by a possible road B6 nkage between Juneau and Haines.

Iris Meadows Creek on Northwest Kruzof Island is a dommon recreation site for Sitkans who can travel to the dastern side of Kruzof and take a short walk across the island to the Iris Meadows area. Additionally, as with the Berners system, the Iris Meadows is a very rich and productive creek, as are almost all the systems in Southeast.

Sandborn Canal in Port Houghton, about 60 miles or 70 males south of Juneau, is an incredibly productive system, an afficredibly productive waterway. Freshwater tributaries which again into the Sandborn Canal are about three and a half miles along, but total escapements of salmon at the Sandborn can be in 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

2.6

excess of 300,000 fish. Scoping was just started by the Forest
Service last week for a timber sale which plans on taking up to
335 million board feet around the Sandborn Creek and its
#ributaries and the land to the west.

5

Duncan Salt Chuck on northeastern Kupreanof Island is one of very few undamaged salt chucks on islands in the archipelago. It has a number of Forest Service cabins in and about its area. It's a very rich area for fish as well as mammals.

11

- Tunehean Creek is on southwestern Kupreanof Island, 10st -- enter saltwater the southern end of Rocky Pass and Kiku Straits and has a fairly productive dungeness fishery at its 50th and also has very strong runs of four species of salmon.
- 17 And of course, there's the Thorne River, the very well-known Thorne River and Hatchery Creek system of Honker Divide Delay Prince of Wales.

2.0

These sorts of rivers are the rivers that the Wild and S2enic Rivers Act are meant to protect. Unfortunately, many of tBe Tongass' best rivers are threatened, but the Wild and S4enic Rivers Act gives us the opportunity to protect rivers and our way of life.

26

The current major industrial activity that threatens the natural pristine Southeast Alaska rivers is industrial agale clearcut logging.

30

The health of a stream is directly related to the Bealth of its forests and surrounding wetlands. These riparian Borests regulate water temperature, create fish spawning and Bearing habitat and keep stream banks and hillsides from eboding. They are also highly productive wildlife habitat. Befortunately, the productive nature of riparian systems makes Bhem prime targets for exploitation and development.

Once a valley is cut, the trees do come slowly back, but the complex habitat is lost for centuries. Forest Service cologists estimate that it takes between 300 and 500 years for alcelearcut riparian area to return to its natural diversity. Bresponsible logging can dramatically alter a stream. Staney check on Prince of Wales Island was heavily logged in the 1970s. It has lost the trees that keep the banks from eroding and has widened and become broad and shallow. There are no faring pools for fish, there's a lack of nutrients, and the stream is vulnerable to temperature extremes both summer and in

50

₩9nter.

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

1

This watershed on Etolin Island was logged more Becently. During strong rains, the clearcut valley washes out. 4The Forest Service is now attempting to stabilize the damaged 5tream by placing logs in its path. Restoration projects like 6his are difficult, expensive and they have only limited 3uccess, especially in areas such as this. It's much better to 8og responsibly and protect these riparian systems in the first place.

10

Poor forestry practices can turn a meandering salmon \$2 ream full of spawning gravel and riffles into a murky and \$3 oured river bed devoid of spawning gravels and rearing pools. 14 n the summer of '93, the Alaska Department of Fish & Game 15 plicated logging in the death of thousands of pre-spawned \$6 lmon on Prince of Wales Island.

17

31

40

The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides a minimum of \$2e-quarter mile corridor along each side of the river. In \$4980, the Alaska Lands Act provided one-half mile corridor on \$\text{W4}\$ld and Scenic Rivers in Alaska. There are a number of rivers \$\frac{45}{10}\$ Northern Alaska that now have one-half mile protected \$\frac{46}{10}\$ cridors. There's one river in Northern California that has \$\frac{42}{10}\$ ceived full watershed protection under the Wild and Scenic \$\frac{10}{10}\$ Ravers Act. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act is a great \$\frac{49}{10}\$ portunity for us to protect rivers and the uses that are

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

1mportant to all of us.

SEACC wants to thank all those in Southeast who helped to bring our draft Wild and Scenic Rivers proposal together. Now, with these slide shows, we're intending to improve the draft and make sure that we have the best rivers in it. Then we'll present it to the Forest Service for inclusion in their management plan. I do have a couple of copies of this with me for anyone who's interested in seeing it.

There's no time to waste in protecting the river resources of the Tongass. With the Forest Service planning now and the collapse of the fishing industry in the Pacific rethwest, a very telling reality, we must be proactive.

Thanks. That's the show. Big Brother is watching.

It's interesting to take a look at this map and if we dould have -- where rivers in the SEACC proposal are laid out laid relation to these habitat conservation areas, many of them browide the linkages between these areas that are so critical travel corridors and movement amongst these protected braces, especially along our best linkage system where it's down here between the Stikine River area and Misty Fjords. And Batchery Creek and Thorne River linkage provides a connection between North and South Prince of Wales. We have a number of different linkages possible with these rivers on Northern chichagof and also in various areas of Baronof Island.

So what we're doing now is we are -- we've got our list of rivers, I handed that out to some of you, I actually didn't have enough copies and I apologize for that. We have received apput from dozens and dozens of people on the rivers that they believe are the most important to their lifestyles, and their work, and their diets and all that. And we're in the process be taking this show out to as many people as we can to hopefully get as much feedback as we can to find out what are

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
the rivers we should be including, what are the rivers the
Forest Service needs to take a look at, and if there are any
givers in our proposal that we haven't -- or that we should not
Be including for whatever reason it may be.
        And so if I can, I'd like to ask all of you for your
Input, if you have any. We're really wanting to know just what
Sivers are important to all of you. And the most crucial thing 9s that the Forest Service needs to know this. And they're in
the process now of putting together their alternatives for the
1and management plan, and it's these alternatives that will
address what rivers are to be considered for protection under
the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. So my recommendation,
therefore, would be to not only communicate this information to
SEACC for our interest but for your interest to communicate it
16 the Forest Service.
17
18
        Do you have anything to elaborate on, Dave?
19
20
        MR. KATZ: Do we have any of those LUD proposal draft
papers (ph)?
22
        MR. ROMANOFF: I have two copies of our proposal or
all aft proposal .....
25
        MR. KATZ: Let's just show them (indiscernible - away
#From microphone) .....
2.8
29
        MR. ROMANOFF: ..... with me now.
30
31
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                           These here?
32
33
        MR. KATZ: No. Those are .....
34
35
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: These are the blank forms.
36
        MR. KATZ: Yeah. We've got the bound proposal
3&ndiscernible - away from microphone) .....
39
        MR. ROMANOFF: Actually what we've done is taken all of
the blank forms that you have with you and all the responses
#Nat we've gotten from those and put them together into this
daft proposal.
44
45
        (Indiscernible comments - away from microphone)
46
        MR. KATZ: So simply to put everything we've said so
#8r in context, it's all about the Tongass Land Management
⊉9an.
       It's about subsistence and a subsistence LUD, land use
50
```

designation, and it's about Wild and Scenic Rivers and protecting the rivers on the Tongass that are so important for Babitat and for subsistence uses. That's all part of the new Tongass Land Management Plan. And we're more than happy to answer any questions that you might have for us. And thank you Gery, very much for allowing us to take up this much of your Time. We really appreciate it. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Lonnie. 10 MR. ANDERSON: Dave, looking through your things, of toward there was transportation corridors with the interties, the Tyee and the Swan Lake interties, that even in the Merthern, is that taken into consideration when you designate these scenic rivers or is it ..... 16 17 MR. KATZ: Do you want to answer? 18 MR. ANDERSON: .... are we going to have a battle over 20ansportation corridors at a later date if we don't establi-21 honor those corridors that's already been established? 22n see what would happen. 23 2.4 MR. KATZ: Um-hum. 2.5 MR. ANDERSON: And the reason for it, of course, 20onah, Angoon, Klawock or a lot of these communities that 28pend primarily on fossil fuel. With the elimination of the 20bsidy, in as two or three years, our cost of electricity is 30ing to increase at least 40% more than what it does now, and 🕅 are going to have to seek other energy sources. And if that means that we don't -- Kake would have to go towards Bêtersburg. Angoon, Hoonah, Snettisham possibly or -- but Bhat's late. So those things need to be ..... 36 MR. KATZ: Um-hum. 37 ..... worked in there. And if I'm MR. ANDERSON: 30 ading you right, those have not been worked into this plan that you've presented. 41 42 MR. KATZ: Do you want to respond to it? 4.3 MR. ROMANOFF: I can respond to that regarding rivers. 45he Act is incredibly flexible. And if there is a #Sansportation corridor within one of these drainage areas,

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

#1thin say an area that would be protected under the Act, it #8n either be written in the management plan for that river #8der the Act to preclude that transportation corridor or not

```
to preclude it.
        So that level of protection can be -- it's very
Adjustable. And if the community desires a transportation
Sinkage of some sort through that drainage, then that can be --
6hat's permissible and that can just be written right in.
me if I'm not addressing your .....
9
       MR. ANDERSON:
                      Well, I understand that it's .....
10
11
       MR. ROMANOFF:
                      ..... question.
12
13
       MR. ANDERSON: ..... already in the Tongass National
F∳rest, see.
15
16
       MR. KATZ: Yes.
17
18
       MR. ANDERSON: Are you guys telling me that you want to
take it out and then .....
21
       MR. KATZ: No.
22
2.3
       MR. ANDERSON: .... rewrite it in?
       MR. KATZ: Not at all. The -- ANILCA, itself, made
Déovision for certain -- .....
2.8
       MR. ANDERSON:
                       Okay.
29
       MR. KATZ: ..... for certain trans- -- intertie and
Maybe transportation, but certainly electricity .....
33
       MR. ANDERSON: Electricity is the main .....
34
       MR. KATZ: ..... corridors. And when you designate --
When Congress designates a Wild and Scenic River, what happens
33 it can designate it at any level of protection it wants,
$8 om wild where you can't do much to scenic where you can do
more to recreational where you can do almost anything. And you
#Den write up a management plan for that river. And so it's
#\tremely flexible. The -- you could, if you wanted --
€2rtainly, you can -- when you designate a river under the
#ôngass -- under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, the community
&An make provision for what it wants. And there is -- you
#how, I don't think -- I don't even know if it would be
$6ssible to undo what was in ANILCA but certainly we're not
#Tying to do that. It's -- so the answer is no.
48
49
       I mean, what we want to do is make certain that
50
```

communities on the Tongass are aware of this Act, that we use 2t to protect the areas that we want to protect while at the 3ame time providing for the other resources that we think are 4ital. You know, if somebody wants to, you know, make sure 5hat the Wild and Scenic Rivers designation does not mess up an 6ntertie or transportation link, well, then that's something 7that, you know, that you need to do.

And, you know, I suppose that at some point you could have people on opposite sides of an issue like that. But this 1s not -- that's not the -- you know, the intent. You could go 1ato a place like -- I don't know -- well, Wrangell or someplace and say well, this area should be protected as a Wild and Scenic River because we use it for guiding and we use it for all sorts of fishing and commercial habitat uses and commercial guiding, for example. And somebody else would say well, but if you protect it this way, you might be -- an all electricity corridor through there, an intertie. And so what you do is you figure it out and you write it into the all nagement plan. The Act is very, very flexible.

21

MR. ANDERSON: Now, is this being written into the TLMP plan draft that's coming out today or Thursday or something?

MR. KATZ: No. That's -- no. The amendment itself, the amendment to the existing TLMP, is only for that, nothing also. And that's happening -- that -- just for that and that's happening -- that -- just for that and that's happening very shortly. After that, they will be going back and doing the full Tongass Land Management Plan Revision and that's where this Wild and Scenic River stuff is going to alme up.

33

34 So our proposal is going to be pretty detailed with \$5spect to the rivers that we are proposing, once we get it \$5nalized. And if there's an ar- -- you know, a river that \$5nould be protected but there should be provision for an \$6tertie corridor through there, then that's -- let's put that \$9. You know, that's where (indiscernible - interrupted, voice \$40wers) .....

41

42 MR. ANDERSON: You better put it in on the Portage & Beek or we're going to have a war down the line.

44

45 MR. KATZ: On Portage Creek?

46

47 MR. ANDERSON: Portage Creek.

48

MR. KATZ: Well, let's make a note of Portage Creek.

50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
3
4
       MR. KATZ: And that's -- okay.
5
6
       MR. ANDERSON:
                      That's my question, Mr. Chair.
7
8
       MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman.
9
10
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Richard.
11
       MR. DALTON: It's still kind of disturbing. I get the
1mpression of watching the slides we're talking in general
$Anctuary, making that into a sanctuary and then protecting
15der that sanctuary. It'll come to a point where tribal
£ nagement on our option here that we will probably not have
and room to say to protect our interest in the wildlife and the
habitat.
19
2.0
       And then the others I heard from you people how much
20ngass National Forest Service has houses along the rivers.
When this became a reality, we became a statehood. Our
And one little shelters that we built along the Icy
24rait area and the Tenakee Inlet area and the Port Fredericks
abea, United States Government stamped it government property
and they burned the tribal -- I mean the smokehouses. Now, I'm
20eing that United States Government is putting little shelters
20 re in our territory and our land and our water rights. And I
20 ink that we will have to say something in regards to protect
30r interest in this portion of your proposal.
32
        And now asking us on this little legis- -- scenic act,
33don't know if I want to go for this at all.
                  Let me address that. Those are -- that's
       MR. KATZ:
Stactly what everybody, you know, cares about, although, not
β@rhaps in the same way as you.
38
39
       MR. DALTON: Well, I can understand that.
                                                  I only speak
4f what you guys are proposing here. It pertains to everybody,
#1can agree with that. But as an individual, I'm voicing my
⊕@inion with your plan.
43
44
       MR. KATZ: Uh-huh.
                           The -- this particular Act, the
W5ld and Scenic River Act, doesn't make a thing into a
$6nctuary. It's not -- the idea of it is not to keep people
that all. The idea is to let people use a river the way they
      So if there are certain things that need to be protected
49 things that you want to do in that river, you know,
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

torridor, let's stick it in there; let's put it into the proposal.

And the reason why we're making this, such a proposal, however, is because river corridors are so important to so many ases on the forest, and if we don't protect them, we'll lose Them. You know, we can lose them to large scale logging, 8hat's one of the -- that's the thing that we care most about Asually. And while you're protecting them from that, you don't want to, you know, knock them off limits to the things that people actually use them for. That's -- you know, we're not 12 ying to do that. Anyway .....

13

14 MR. DALTON: Two more remarks here before -- earlier, I mentioned about river transplant on coho, and three years ago 16 Hoonah, they called it Schendaheen. I'm hearing the same language that we were hearing three years ago, that it was for ♠8erybody's use that they were transplanting this coho in that \$Bream. And we told them pointblank that it was not going to Materialize for simple reason by tribal law that when the fish 20ngregate out there from their migratory area, they ask each Other questions, which river are you going this year. Well, 2Bey might say amongst themselves that's a silly question, I'm ₫⊕ing to my grandfather's river. So when you take from there, ₹5u're going to kill that much, and that's exactly what they 26d at the public expenses.

28 It's not the Forest Service's expenses, it's public 29penses that is being used to try to develop something that Whereby the public law is saying okay, this is reserved for βublic to look at. And then a year later, it says there'll be 80 snagging fish, there'll be no subsistence users coming in Bêre. There were some laws that were just so strict that we ∂⊕uld- -- there was no fish there, yet they were making the law abready, and that fish did not materialize. So I have to 86iticize the fact that they're fooling around with something BMat is a natural stock in our culture.

38 39

MR. KATZ: Good criticism.

40

41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. The -- that's one of the #2asons why I wanted this presentation done here, because we, 48 the past, haven't really focused much on habitat of AAything. We concentrate mostly on the areas of harvest. ₩5 have to always bear in mind that if we're going to be \$6ewards of a resource that we have to be concerned about the Mābitat. And that was the reason I wanted to hear this #Sesentation, introduce ourselves to this particular **4**∂nservation council.

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
The reason for that is in the past, we always
Becognized anybody in conservation or environmental issues as
#adicals that want to tie up everything and stop us from
breathing and eating. And sometimes you have to be radical to
Make radical changes because there's radical devastation that's
doing on. And so in those terms, I wanted us at least to have
an introduction to this whole thing.
10
        I saw a hand down here, John.
11
12
       MR. FELLER:
                     Yeah.
                           Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Wanted to ask Dave, being from Wrangell, I guess there's a
Wangell Resource Council there. I wasn't aware of that.
khow your people have been in Wrangell, I think I spoke to some
$\delta$ your members before. And I see Bradfield River is not on
there or is it?
18
        MR. KATZ: I don't know, is Bradfield on?
19
20
21
       MR. ROMANOFF: No, it's not.
22
       MR. FELLER: I think it's probably because it might be
24gged out 20 some years ago and has extensive road systems. I
25e in Bradfield Canal there's two rivers, the Eagle and the
26rding which that's a good move, I think. And on the other
Mand, farther up the back channel, there's Aaron and Berg and
abother one I wasn't aware of, but -- and Crittenden's right
Dehind Wrangell, then we work toward the Stikine Flats. I was
@Ondering is that already protected under a wildlife refuge, is
Bhat the reason why? It's one of the main rivers in the area.
32
33
        MR. KATZ: You mean the Stikine?
34
35
       MR. FELLER:
                     Yeah.
36
       MR. KATZ: Yeah. We made an initial cut, an initial
decision, in putting this thing together that we wouldn't
Baclude in our new proposal rivers that were already protected.
4Now, the Stikine is protected as wilderness, it's in the
$tikine/LaConte Wilderness. So we decided well, there's only
$0 many rivers we're going to be able to protect here, let's
$Botect the ones that aren't protected already instead of the
4Aes that already are protected. So that's why we didn't
45clude the Stikine River or, for example, the Ushk (ph) or the
♠bickamin down on the south end or, you know, some of the
#1vers up on the north end that are already protected.
48
49
       MR. FELLER: Yeah. Taku probably, huh?
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

50

```
MR. KATZ: The Tak- -- I don't know, what about Taku?
Seah, maybe. I'm sorry.
        MR. FELLER: Okay.
6
        MR. KATZ: You know, I've lived in Ketchikan for a long
8ime and I know more about the area down south. Every now and
When, I get embarrassed when people ask me about my own area
$\text{h0}$w in Juneau and I don't know about it. But at any rate, so
that's why we made that decision. Now, we're sort of
tevisiting that a little bit because some of those rivers --
for example, there's one river down in Misty Fjords, the
W4lson, which is in part of the monument that is not wilderness
$5 it's not really protected, we're starting to take another
16ok at that. And the Stikine is one of those that I think is
10st -- is protected and is really solidly protected and
d8esn't need to be in our proposal.
19
20
       MR. FELLER:
                     Yeah. Yeah. I think on our side it is, I
Adn't know about the Canadian, what their developments could
possibly be .....
23
2.4
        MR. KATZ: That's right.
25
       MR. FELLER: .... in the future; heavy mining
@perations up there, gold and ore and stuff.
28
29
        MR. KATZ: Yeah. That's -- it's up in the air.
30
31
       MR. FELLER: Consideration. Thank you,
M2. Chairman .....
33
34
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          Okay.
35
36
                    .... and Dave.
       MR. FELLER:
37
38
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Anybody else? Thank you very much,
₩@ appreciate that.
40
41
        MR. KATZ: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
42
43
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         And with that, with the studious
Articipants, we'll take a coffee break. So thank you again.
45
46
        MR. KATZ: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
                                                       Thank
∜ðu.
      Thank you all.
48
49
       (Off record)
50
```

```
(On record)
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We have been kind of dragging through
5ur agenda, realizing that we were scheduled to meet for three
days. We've had some good information. We've had some good
Exchanges. We've generated some good guestions. But before we
Rnow it, tomorrow's going to go pretty fast and I want to be
Sure that we give ourselves enough time to take whatever action
we choose to take during this session. We have a couple of
proposals to consider, we might consider other proposals. So I
daess rather than jumping into my own ideas on agenda, I was
10st wondering what the wishes of the Council was regarding
reflecting some action before we leave here this session. All
$5qqestions are appreciated.
16
17
       MR. VALE: Mr. Chairman.
18
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Carol gave me some printed material
2Dat are suggested language in a proposal. It's a proposal
2hat would suffice as it is now, but we would have a chance to
2ake a look at it and make whatever changes we would want to do
2n that.
2.4
25
       John, did you .....
2.6
27
                  Just in answer to your question, I, you
       MR. VALE:
Raow, feel that we need to conclude action on a proposal on the
29 oxy hunting. I feel that's important that we get that done
Bere ....
31
32
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: On which one?
33
34
       MR. VALE:
                  .... on our agenda. And I got the
35pression you were asking for items that you wanted to make
36re we addressed.
38
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          Sure.
39
       MR. VALE:
                  In response to that, that and make sure that
₩ê have all our information in an annual report that we have to
Mave in there are the two items I feel are important. And when
$3u get a moment, I'd just like to describe what this handout
₩as that I passed out during the break, too.
45
46
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We could do that now.
47
       MR. VALE: Okay. For the Council members and the folks
40 the audience out there, at the break here, I just passed out
```

A short letter and a summary of a study, the one that George Referred to, that the habitat conservation areas are a result of. And I just wanted to let you know what it was so you could wook at it and read through it.

5

I received this in the mail as an advisory committee Thair, I guess, and I thought it would be pertinent to the Business that we'd be doing here so I brought it with me, and I got some copies made. And the study that George referred to the Alier, the alias Mr. Taylor, this is a summary of it, and it talks about the habitat conservation areas and about particular w2ldlife species needing protection. And so I thought it might be useful, and I just wanted to point it out to you that you hew have it in your possession and so you can look at it. And there's extra copies on the table back there. So that's all.

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you, John. This is more 18 formation for our information. These proposal drafts I have, 19 am kind of dragging around here till Carol gets back. Is she 20 ming back or is she heading for her office, does anybody 12 know? We were just reaching a point to where we're considering 22 tion items before we run out of agenda time. And I was 23 king reference to the two proposal drafts that you shared 24 th me on that. And if those are distributed now, would you 25 able to run those by us?

26

MS. JORGENSEN: First, Mr. Chairman, are we on 8E, is that what we're doing right now?

29

30 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right.

31

32 MS. JORGENSEN: Okay. Mr. Knauer would like to do -- \$\frac{3}{4}\$ us through the flow chart on proposal development. If he \$\frac{4}{9}\$ uld take a minute to do that?

35

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure.

36 37

38 MR. KNAUER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It'll probably 88ke more than a minute but I'll try and keep it short. You 180 in your packet a two page document, it looks like this, 41's got a rather simplified, straight line flow chart on the 42 ont and a rather complicated set of boxes on the second page.

And of course, one function of the Regional Council is \$5 provide advice to the Federal Subsistence Board on \$6 gulations that relate to the taking of fish and wildlife \$8 sources for subsistence uses on Federal public lands. And \$8 ose are important things to remember, for some of you this is \$49 repeat, for the new members, it may be some new information, 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

but we're only dealing with Federal public lands. And because Of our jurisdictional concerns, primarily mammals, land mammals. We're not dealing with marine mammals, we're not dealing with migratory birds, nor are we dealing with, for the most part, fish or other marine resources.

These two charts, although they look different, are Beally dealing with the same thing. The first page is, more or Less, a generic chart that indicates that a propo- -- the process starts with the publication of a proposed rule in the Federal Register. That rule is based on the previous year's tegulations. They take the regulations from the past year and they say okay, this is the starting point, what do you, the p4blic, wish to propose differently? And that was published on \$5ptember 2nd.

16

17 There then is a period, in this case running through Nôvember 11th, during which the Regional Council, members of the public and other organizations can submit proposals for 2Danges in the regulations. And these proposals govern only a Specific part of the program, the part that we call Subpart D Which relates to the harvest seasons, the harvest limits and \$Be methods and means of take. Other parts are not covered №4thin that, therefore, any proposals that are submitted on 25her parts are not considered for that.

2.6 27

After November 11- -- ....

2.8 29

MS. GARZA: Can you repeat that, please?

30

31 MR. KNAUER: Um-hum.

32

33 MS. GARZA: Okay. It's the limit .....

34

Seasons, bag limits and methods and means. MR. KNAUER: 3%e try and call them harvest limits as opposed to bag limits. 37

38

39 MS. GARZA: And proposals not relative to those are not **∉**0nsidered?

41

42 MR. KNAUER: Are not considered.

43

MS. GARZA: Are they considered at another time or #bey're just not considered?

46

MR. KNAUER: If they're not within the purview of the #8ogram, they're not considered. In other words, if they deal ₩9th marine mammals or migratory birds or something totally

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

butside the scope, they are not considered at all. The Federal Board does not have any jurisdiction over that. If they relate Bo things like a change in customary and traditional use determination, then they are sent to the group that is working 5n the C&T study for that particular area. They're not feturned but they're not dealt with immediately. They are sort of put in the pot for when that particular area would be examined.

9 10

MS. GARZA: Okay.

11

MR. KNAUER: After the close of the proposal period, Nôvember 11th -- in other words, you folks have until Névember 11th to submit a proposal or multiple proposals, Whatever, as does the public, as does the State, Alaska Départment of Fish & Game, as does Bill Thomas as an 17dividual, whatever. But after November 11th, then we take those proposals, put them in a standard format, put them in a booklet and send them out to the public and to -- including all of the Council members so that they have a chance to see what proposals were submitted and have a chance to ask the folks in their communities and in their regions what they think; is that a 3 good proposal, is it a bad proposal, is it sort of okay but maybe needs some changes.

25

- At the same time, our staff starts an analysis of those \$%Toposals to determine the biological impacts and the \$%Ciocultural impacts of those proposals. Then, a second round \$%T meeting -- Regional Council meetings is held, that's the \$%Toposal Council meetings that occur in February, during which \$%Toposal Council meetings the region is presented, \$%Toposal Council form our staff is presented to you as a Regional Council, the public is provided an opportunity to testify to \$%Toposal Council, and you as a council deliberate and determine what \$%Tour recommendation is on each proposal.
- Then in April, the Federal Subsistence Board meets and deliberates each proposal. And at that time, your Chair aftends the meeting and provides the recommendation on the Gouncil's recommendation on the proposals. The public has an apportunity to testify, the State has an opportunity to provide their input on each proposal. At that time, the Board deliberates and comes to a decision to adopt or to reject or to adopt with modifications or, sometimes, to table a proposal to provide for additional efforts.
- Final regulations are then developed from the proposals that the Board has adopted and published in the Federal Register, and those become the final rule which is effective 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

July 1 of the following year. From that is then developed a public booklet, the thick, green booklet that you see on the Back table and that you've got, that is sent out to the public 4 sually about the beginning of each July. That happens every 5 ear, that process. The dates vary just a little bit, that's 6 thy this first sheet does not have any dates on it.

The second page is the detailed process of each Individual step that we go through with the dates that are Indicipated for this year. Right now, you are in the midst of Individual Step that we go through with the dates that are Indicipated for this year. Right now, you are in the midst of Indice Indicate Indice Indice Indice Indice Indice Indice Indice Indice Indi

17 18

MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman.

19 20

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

21

MS. GARZA: I took something home last night and it was the proposed regulations for 1995 that were in the Register, I thought that was my homework, and it sort of got redundant so I abdn't go through all of it. But how does that fit into this though there?

27

MR. KNAUER: Okay. That is the very -- on the first  $\beta a$ ge, that is the very first parallelogram, the very first  $\beta a$ lock at the top.

31

32 MS. GARZA: The Agency changes?

33

MR. KNAUER: That is -- that's the first step up here, and on the second page, that is Block Number 7, PR meaning Broposed Rule published in the Federal Register. The start of the Federal rule making process is with the publishing in the Broposed Register of a proposed rule. In other words, it's what proposed would be in effect if nothing else were changed, if the comments were submitted. And what this is based on is the Rast year's rule; in other words, you need a starting point.

And this packet you've got says okay, this was the starting point, this is essentially last year's but there were four minor changes -- not minor -- there were four changes from last year that occurred there. Those four changes, one was an opening -- it would be a proposed opening for the mountain goat season in Unit 1(B), in the Frosty Bay Ridge area. The -- in that particular case, the Forest Service had previously asked 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
that that area be closed because there was some logging
activities in the area. The logging activities ended, so they
Belt that it was acceptable to reopen the season in that area.
4So that was one change from last year's, one difference.
        In some of the units, there is a difference in the lynx
Beason and limit from last year. None of those are in the
Southeast. In Unit 18, there is a difference in the moose
9eason, that's out on the Yukon Delta area. And up in Unit 23
and 26(A), that's up in the Northwest area, up by northwest of
Kotzebue, there is -- the difference would close the sheep
$@ason, and that's based on declining populations up there.
1.3
14
        Those are the only differences in this proposed rule
from what appeared June -- or in June that are effective right
16w. And usually what happens, those differences occur because
$\Pi$ Board actions that occurred after the Board met in April and
before this document actually gets published. It takes about
19 probably about 45 to 60 days to go through the process of
20tually getting one of these published in the Federal
Register, it does take a period of time.
23
       MS. GARZA: Okay.
2.4
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That was too heavy for us, Bill.
26ank you.
27
2.8
       MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman.
29
30
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Carol.
31
32
       MS. JORGENSEN: Upon Bill's presentation, Rachel would
also like to have a minute with the Council. Rachel Mason, our
3Athropologist from Fish & Wildlife.
35
36
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                          Okay.
37
       MS. ROBINSON: Hey, Bill. Bill, before she starts, can
39just make a complimentary comment?
40
41
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Sure.
42
43
       MS. ROBINSON: On the -- with this thing here that was
44st mentioned, the second page -- second or third page there,
45 was really nice having the summary of changes stated right
46 front very clearly, it really helps with eliminating
&∂nfusion about what's different. Thanks.
49
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Mim. Okay.
50
```

```
MS. MASON: I wanted to speak a minute just to request
your assistance in filling out the proposal forms. Once the
proposals from this area are submitted, I'm going to be
$nvolved in some of the analysis of sociocultural
Considerations. And as you fill out the forms or if you're
Melping somebody else to do it, it would be helpful if the
Broposal form is very specific, if you answer those questions
Specifically. And I'm particularly interested in Question
Number 5, which is how will this change affect subsistence
12
1.3
        The kinds of things that I'll need to know for
14stifying this proposal are how important or necessary is this
$1d food, how many hunters are there, how many attempted
Monters or successful ones, what seasons is this food hunted or
f7shed, how is it shared, how is it used, are there any uses
$8her than food, how is it preserved, is it used on any special
Decasions, does it have religious importance, how does it
20 late to other foods and what is the history of the use, is it
part of a long tradition and so forth.
23
        So any kind of information like that that you're able
24 provide will be helpful in establishing that there is an
2mpact on subsistence users. Does anybody have any .....
26
27
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did everybody have a chance to make
28ference? Mim?
29
30
       MS. ROBINSON: That's quite a list.
31
32
       MS. MASON: Yeah.
33
34
                       There's no way I can remember everything
       MS. ROBINSON:
Bhat you just said.
36
37
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think you meant any part of that?
38
39
        MS. MASON: Any part of that, it doesn't .....
40
41
        MS. ROBINSON: Uh-huh. Yeah.
42
43
                    I mean, I'm not telling you to .....
        MS. MASON:
44
45
                       Well, I mean that just a lot of it .....
        MS. ROBINSON:
46
47
        MS. MASON: ..... elicit .....
48
49
        MS. ROBINSON: ..... just went .....
50
```

```
MS. MASON: Uh-huh.
3
       MS. JORGENSEN: Yeah. It's in that book (ph).
5
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Maybe you could jot some of those
down ....
9
       MS. MASON:
                   Sure.
10
11
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... and make a copy and distribute
11em ....
14
      MS. ROBINSON: That would be helpful.
1.5
16
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: .... and that will be easy for our
ræference.
18
19
       MS. MASON:
                   Okay.
20
21
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would that help, Mim?
2.2
2.3
       MS. ROBINSON: Yeah.
2.4
       MS. MASON: Yeah, I will. Basically, anything that
26ntributes to the idea that -- of the importance of this
2% source is something that's useful. But I'll make a list of
28e kind of things that are important and I'll make sure that
29 gets distributed to the group.
30
31
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         That was a good question because that
B@lps us understand more of what you're looking for. If we
BBink -- if we know that you're looking for something from us,
We need to have an idea of what it is.
35
36
       MS. MASON:
                    Right.
37
38
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And so that list will aid us in doing
Bhat.
40
41
       MS. MASON: Right. And another thing I wanted to
#2ntion is that I hope that I will be able to consult any of
♦ôu concerning a particular area, because I need to have the
**Aowledge of people who have personal experience in these areas
45 order to get this kind of information.
46
47
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll do that.
48
49
       MS. MASON: All right. Thank you.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Okay.
                                             What's the wish of
She Council in pursuing our agenda item 8E?
       MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman.
6
7
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.
        MS. GARZA: You know, I have not gone through this
process before, I have not submitted any proposals to the
Federal Subsistence Board as a general public person. But what
12have jotted down here that we talked about today is the
Adcidental harvest of the doe in the Sitka area, the community
Marvest issue. Perhaps, this would be a good time to come up
$\psi$th ideas of how we could submit a proposal to address these
16sues.
17
18
        I think that the two -- in my looking at the agenda,
the two major things we have left is whether or not we want to
20bmit proposals and the designated hunter permitting system,
and I'm not sure which of those two we should try and tackle.
22would imagine that either one of them could take the rest of
PBis afternoon.
2.4
25
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, yeah, they can and into
26morrow as well.
28
       MS. GARZA: But I guess my interest is I would like to
28t one of them done.
30
31
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I would, too. What's the wish?
32
       MS. ROBINSON: Well, I was just thinking that it
34unded like -- well, I'm not sure whether everyone's ready to
{\tt d5}{\tt scuss} the designated hunter thing. I know for myself that
36ve gone through some of the report but I still need to go
Bhrough the rest of it. So I -- personally, I don't feel quite
3\theta ady to dig into that one, other than the fact we could maybe
Bave a preliminary discussion on it, a little more in-depth
#Man what we've done so far, or we could just hold off on that
And give people a chance to do some more reading tonight and
42scuss it tomorrow and, instead, see if there's any other
proposals that people may have some ideas for.
44
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We have a couple of these that are
A6re in draft form for us to consider and see if we would --
$$\vertext{@}e if we're happy with them the way they are or offer whatever
&Banges we would like to see in them. That'll kind of
#8cilitate a couple that we can have by the deadline, and maybe
50
```

by that exercise it will give us an idea of how to do proposals. We'll stand at ease for 25 seconds.

Okay. In case you haven't detected, I've been getting boached on this. So it's good suggestions. It was suggested that, perhaps, I read this one proposal dealing with the six deer -- antlerless deer taken only from September 15th to Sanuary 31st; open season, August 1 to January 31. And I will do that starting with the proposed regulation.

25(k)4, Deer. Six deer, however antlerless deer may be taken only from September 15th to January 31. Open season, Adgust 1 to January 1 (sic). A qualified subsistence user of \$4tka may designated another qualified subsistence user of \$5tka to take deer on his or her behalf if they are disabled by a6e or physical handicap.

Reason for changing the regulation, to address the need to supply those unable to hunt due to age or other handicaps. Also, it might provide a clearer picture for the harvest actions that are being taken. On April 12th, 1994, the Federal Subsistence Board meeting concerning the adoption of 1994/'95 Subsistence hunting regulations, the following discussions were recorded in the transcripts which explain why the same proposal Subsistence for 94/'95, but was to be reconsidered as 205/'96 regulations.

Mr. Kurth: The Staff Committee recommended that the Board table these proxy proposals at this time, but direct staff to form working groups with -- in this case, we were dealing with the proposals at hand in Kodiak and Southeast particularly before us -- to cooperatively develop a proposal for the next regulatory year, '95 and '96, that would provide Bhis customary and traditional use.

Mr. Cesar, Niles Cesar, he is a member of the Board \$\frac{2}{2}\$ presenting the BIA. I'm troubled by the fact that we would \$\frac{2}{2}\$ an entire year to endorse a process, where I would see \$\frac{2}{2}\$ and by spring of 1995/'96 regulatory year, we \$\frac{2}{2}\$ duld in fact move into regulation on it.

Mr. Buckle who is from the Bureau of Land Management: The Board encourages the Regional Councils to continue to advance proposals accommodating community harvest practices.

16 this light, I would move that the Board direct the staff, in advantation with the Regional Councils and the Alaska

Department of Fish & Game, to develop proposed Subpart D

degulations for the 1995/'96 cycle to provide for the customary

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
and traditional practice of individuals providing for the needs
Of others in the community.
       Mr. Allen seconded it, Mr. Williams called for the
          The question is called for, all those in favor say
aye, in unison. So the motion passed.
        The effect of the proposed change on fish and wildlife
populations. At this time, there is no way to know these
♦ffects. Staff biologists will have to track this program. We
denerally feel that it will not affect the deer population in
ady negligible way.
13
14
        The effect of proposed change on subsistence uses.
This will allow other subsistence users to become legal
harvesters for those who cannot hunt and provide a viable way
for the unabled to get deer.
18
19
       Additional information.
                                 There are many Elders who get
20er now, but the harvesters are forced to keep it secret so it
Won't have to be counted off his legal tags and still provide
₹∂r his and his family's needs.
23
        So those are some technicalities considered in there
Decause if you're going to harvest a deer, and for management
parposes it has to be recorded, but there was discussion of
พิทิด's going to record it. If the person that you're getting
the deer for doesn't have tags and you were the designated
Monter, would that -- would you have to forfeit one of your
Bags in order to keep that animal legal. So they were kind of
Working their way around that.
32
33
       The other proposal .....
34
35
       MS. JORGENSEN: Mr. Chairman.
36
37
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Carol.
38
       MS. JORGENSEN: The other one is basically the same
♦Aly addressing Unit 5 and the .....
41
42
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right.
43
       MS. JORGENSEN: .... other species, but the wording is
pretty much the same.
46
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         So we got two proposals here and two
48scussions that are virtually the same; one is referring to
40er, the other is referring to other animals.
```

```
They were -- the action that was taken was encouraged
Bo at first to work cooperatively to develop a proposal for
Aext regulatory year that would provide for those customary and
Fraditional uses.
7
       MS. GARZA: Mr. Chairman.
8
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.
10
       MS. GARZA:
                   In looking at this proposal, I guess it's
the designated hunter proposal, so it's sort of combining the
two topics. Both are proposals that we need to look at, as
well as the issue that was brought up earlier. I quess I would
15ke to have a little bit more history from either you or
M6. George or someone who attended the organized meetings that
ware held this summer to address the topics. I still don't
Mave a clear understanding of why this proposal did not pass
the Federal Subsistence Board. Is it because it was too broad
20 terms of the number of species or because there wasn't
@mough information; if there wasn't enough information, then
20es the packet that we were provided with provide that
additional information, the options?
25
        I guess I don't know what has been done and what needs
26 be done in order to submit a proposal that would likely have
£avorable review.
2.8
29
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. The best I can remember at
Bhis time, when we initiated this, this was brought up and we
water trying to incorporate some practices from the past and
32ill fall within the parameters of proposals. And this looked
33ke a good one. In fact, we didn't take much discussion from
84r region, everybody understood it and everybody supported it.
350 we submitted that as our proposal. When it got to the
Béderal Board meeting, it then had a chance to be reviewed by
∂ther regions in the state. And they felt like this was an
application that would work in their region as well, talking
about different species. So it got pretty cumbersome.
40
41
       And so as long as it had the classification of
#Astomary and traditional uses, I think that's probably where
48 sort of really came in from. If they didn't associate it
₩4th customary and traditional uses, it might have moved
₫āster.
46
       Gabe, do you have a recollection what was discussed at
the first meeting?
49
50
```

- MR. GEORGE: I believe that the proposal came about Decause of some concern about the elderly getting meat and all, and disabled. And I think that our representative from Southeast, Gary MacKey, had one passed and/or was in Sonsideration for the State and all. And we're trying to Sollow suit with the Federal. And I think that the intent, Initially, was to address what was being addressed. Got more? 8Go ahead.
- MS. JORGENSEN: One was passed by the State. And this has been a long time concern for villages and for areas in the faral areas. In a lot of the communities, traditional hunters \$3 out; there's designated hunters that go out and they may hant for the entire village, or as Mim brought up, too, in \$5 her areas that's not an uncommon practice in the rural areas where there's a few hunters that go out and then they bring it back and share it with the community. And this has never been addressed properly, and it's always forcing people to be 19 legal in what they've been doing forever.
- And so the State came up with a regulation, a proxy permit but the permitting system as well-meaning and well-litended as they meant it to be, it is so complex and so the meaning and sell-meaning and sell-mea
- The Federal Government looked at that and said well, \$\frac{9}{2}u \text{ know, let's learn from experiences and let's try to develop \$\frac{3}{6}mething that is workable. Because two things, we want to \$\frac{3}{6}cognize the subsistence and the traditional practices that \$\frac{5}{6}ve been going on in the communities forever, the other thing \$\frac{3}{6}\$ we need the biological information, that's critical. A lot \$\frac{3}{7}\$ times it's forced these people to be out of -- outside the \$\frac{3}{6}w\$ and certainly, people are afraid to report and that \$\frac{3}{6}uuldn't be a fear there. And so they wanted to come up with \$\frac{3}{6}mething that is more simplified than what the stated process \$\frac{4}{5}\$ now.
- And then, Mr. Pospahala pointed out that this is a dencern not only in Southeast but it's a concern through the whole state. And the State Government came and they also talked about the fact that they meant well but they're having problems because what is showing is that only urban people are the porting this process, and they're still not getting the the porting for the information for the conservation of the 50

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

42

tesources.

2

38

- 3 So from that then Southeast had put in two proposals And Kodiak one requesting a proxy hunt. And Mr. Pospahala said that since this is a concern for the entire state, he would take to develop a task force, and that's where the designated Munter task force came from, to work on this to come up with training options that are possible to present, and that's where the designated hunter task force report comes from.
- The idea of these two proposals here was just to the inforce Southeast of the fact that they had put these in and to reinforce the fact that there's still -- this possibly will the them maybe a hunt for this next '95/'96 rather than have to wait for another year. So that's kind of a little bit of the history, Mr. Chairman.
- 18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Carol. That's good hastory, and I appreciate that. And I need to remind people after that not all of us are in attendance when different bits af information are provided. And Carol has been doing a good ab in representing us and attending all of those meetings and asmbining them. But with the schedules that take place and afterything and the coordination, it hasn't always been possible to get that information out to us. So I'm just trying to affalify my stumbling around the familiarity with some of these afford issues. Gabe and then John.
- MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Again to -- trying to recap some of \$0. Certainly, a lot of the issues came out and sometimes they the things a little bit sarcastically, you know. Like when they brought out the fact that, you know, if somebody is old, asabled and blind, you know, they can go and fill out these that of forms and say, you know, could you please fill this aft; I know you're blind and disabled, you know; so that you aft get somebody else to harvest your deer, which really aftern't work.
- But the intent was good. So I think that the Board was \$\frac{40}{30}\$stified in trying -- in creating a task force to address the \$\frac{4}{3}\$sue, and the issue being more than just a proxy hunter but a \$\frac{2}{3}\$2 of life that has occurred in much of Alaska, you know, for \$\frac{4}{3}\$long, long time. And I think that's what we tried to do at \$\frac{4}{3}\$1 criticisms about every option that was brought forth.
- But certainly, it's treading on new ground. It looks 48 -- at a community as a subsistence community and the way 49 ings were and tried to address and legalize those actions. It so

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

don't think we came out with a clear consensus on how to do that. Well, I know we didn't. And so here we are.

3

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: John.

5

MR. VALE: Well, yeah, just to kind of recap things a Tittle bit, too. We proposed these a year ago, and then we sook them up and made recommendations in, I don't know, Bebruary I think it was when we met, and we amended these. Correct me if I'm wrong, I'm just going on my memory. But we amended these and eliminated the part about being disabled or physical handicap. So -- and that result was the proposals we put forward were simply that any qualified rural resident could harvest resources for any other qualified rural resident, and there wasn't a restriction by disabled by age or physical handicap.

17

So I think that's an area that we'll need to touch on 19 we, you know, redraft new proposals to see if we still want 20 go with that or if, in fact, we do want some sort of Qualification with the physical handicap part of it.

22 23

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

24

MS. GARZA: Perhaps what my uncle has been doing for \$26 ars is illegal. But he always walks into the office in Craig and picks up a subsistence fishing permit for my grandmother and goes out and gets her 10 sockeye. She doesn't have to be there, she doesn't have to be on the boat. And the report just has to come back. And so I'm not sure why we can't do something like that here. And I'm not sure if that technical problem is the only problem it was sent back. I'm not sure if the sure if the grand problem is the looks at that technical problem.

35

I mean, I've tried to go through it at the end of the Bunch and at breaks when I could, and it seems to look at a Basad range of options. But I didn't anywhere see there a real agear review of the technical process of giving a license to an a mean and the second someone who can't get into a Douglas office or a Ketchikan affice to actually get the license.

42

MR. GEORGE: The task force did talk about that and there was comments, you know, about that. First, I hate to say this, but your uncle was illegal, at least as far as picking up aftermit and taking it out and filling it. And that's one of the issues. The issue is that -- and I think it's been brought at in Sitka, a person can correct me if I'm wrong, but my aftermation states that -- or remembers that even on a salmon 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

fishing permit, that the person that it is written out to has 20 be on the boat. And so in Sitka when they took out people 80 fill out their subsistence fishing permits, through the \$\frac{1}{2}\$tate, that they had to be physically on the boat and they went 5ut and got it for them and brought it back.

There is that provision for physical handicapped and Blindness and all that that applies and you don't have to be on the boat, but that has to be verified, as they mentioned, by a doctor and by this and by that. And I don't know how much -- I than, it was an incredible hardship that would be placed upon the physically handicapped to go out and get all that 18 formation for the fish and deer that they wish to have.

So yes, that was batted around quite a bit. Also came b6t was, of course, the moose, and the few moose that was hārvested by different people in the community that shared that m8ose and whose ticket it would go on. And I mean, there was a 10t of discussion around the table about different aspects of p0oxy hunting and whose ticket and what applications and the 20porting aspect. As you're well aware that many of the 22llages don't report how much deer is taken, don't turn in 20ple tags except for school teachers and a few other people.

So the question was raised as to whether we want to 26ntinue a practice that didn't work or come up with something  $2\pi$  at would.

28 29

MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman.

30

31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dolly.

32

MS. GARZA: You have someone down here.

34

35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard.

36

MR. DALTON: The question is these people here who were Barvesters were in fear of taking so much deer. And when they want out hunting to get the deer, their intent was to get it for somebody there that was disabled or an elderly person. And at appears that everybody gets a license from the State to do some hunting, one way or the other. The same thing is true with sport fishermen and commercial fishing if that's the fatity they're involved with. For me as an Elder, I have a fetime license but I still go after my own game. I still depend on my own capability; however, there isn't that much can say for themselves because of other disabilities that goes with their age.

49 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

So it appears that permit system would be more logical gust like they to do with the fisheries. Because the fisheries, as I go out to Hoktaheen, I take a permit for somebody there that is allowed 25 fish. And we get it, whether we get 25 or not, it's not feasible, there's no guarantee. So whether we get five fish or ten fish, we brought it back and gave it to them. So there isn't any guarantee where a guy would go out and harvest a deer for an individual that's not capable of using this, there's no guarantee he's going to get sox deer anyway. So there might be a guarantee that he might come back with one deer at least, but then he's being protected by pursuing this is under the permit system.

1 3

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Anybody else? Dolly.

14 15

MS. GARZA: Do you know if there is a charge for a license to someone who's over 60 or 65? You would think that we could request that those license automatically be sent out to avoid that initial problem and, perhaps, that an additional page be sent with that license that would allow that person to designate someone as a hunter. So that packet would be sent to at least the elderly, perhaps, the handicap that request to be at that list each year, and they can simply sign a designated manter sheet that would give their son or daughter or nephew that opportunity for them.

26

MR. GEORGE: The answer is no, there is no charge for, \$280 know, some -- you get a lifetime sports hunting permit after a certain age. But the thing that came up -- at least up 36 the task force, which is a statewide thing, and somewhat \$261 text in Southeast, is that when you get -- I mean, let's \$21 like my aunt who can't go out, doesn't have a husband, \$22 like my aunt who can't go out, doesn't have a husband, \$22 like widowed and all that, gets her license and gets her \$22 kets, does she give it to me who is not as accurate as my \$25 ttle brother in shooting a deer or does she give it to my \$25 ttle brother and all -- or you know, who's going to be the \$25 signated hunter.

38

And whether is it -- there's a lot of different colliural hang-ups attached to the pro- -- that process. And it tas somewhat, overall, rejected by the representatives from all the other different Regional Councils. That probably wouldn't tark in their community and that there was some things that you the tast culturally didn't do to a hunter, and that's probably one them; impose bad luck on them or, you know, having them their gray or whatever.

47

So there is -- no, it wasn't received very well by the #8st of the participants in that task force.

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. VALE: Gabe, I didn't quite understand where you're
doing .....
        MR. GEORGE: Well, what happened -- I'm sorry.
6hey said was that if somebody had a tag, let's say for a moose
and you weren't able to get it, so they gave it to someone.
And if they gave it to their nephew, they didn't think they
@ould -- they didn't -- that their way was that they didn't go
$\text{0t}$ and say well, I'm going to go out and get a moose for my
Auntie today, you know. They didn't say that, they didn't
12ply that, and they didn't want to be, you know -- what?
13
14
       MS. GARZA: Offended is a word.
1.5
       MR. GEORGE: Offended. You know, they'd offended their
Munter spirit or whatever, it gave them some bad luck and a
dafferent connotation with the whole thing. What did happen
₩as peop- -- you know, a hunting party would go out and say
20ve guys and one would shoot the moose. Now, whose tag goes
With that? And that moose is shared by five people or five
Zamilies or six if, you know, they're doing it for someone
@3se, you know. You know, so there's a whole bunch of
②#actices that doesn't -- isn't addressed by handing over a tag
25 someone.
2.6
27
                           I'm getting an understanding then.
       MR. VALE: I see.
28wonder if, under those circumstances, if something like a
20mmunity harvest limit for a given community would be more
30propriate than some kind of a proxy system.
31
32
                     Um-hum. That's why the options came out
        MR. GEORGE:
38 the task force report .....
35
       MR. VALE: Um-hum.
36
       MR. GEORGE: .... is because of the differences and
all in harvesting. But still .....
39
        MS. LeCORNU: Mr. Chairman, I was just wondering where
the permit restriction I call it -- I view it as a restriction €
$2cause this act is supposed to allow me somehow. Now, when I
Mave to get a permit, that's instantly not allowing me general
      It's saying well, you have to go to this government
45stitution and get a permit. Where does it say that? Is that
46 the regulations that we're making now?
47
48
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No.
                               In fact, I don't know if such a
permit exists, does it, in the State?
```

MR. GEORGE: Yeah. Well, the State -- you know, everything -- I mean, if you go out and get gumboots, you're supposed to have a sports fishing permit. If you're going to bollect any of the resources, you have to have a license or a bermit which is a license. I don't know if there's anything That doesn't have a license attached to it that is legal. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So are we talking permits now or 10censes? 11 MR. GEORGE: Well, in this case in terms of the Mûnting, we're talking about license, we're talking about a permit and we're talking about tags and the option is there. Cartainly, I believe you've seen some proposals stating that \$6bsistence users do not wish to purchase a sports hunting 17cense in order to harvest subsistence resources. We've seen that in the past and I'm sure we'll see it in the future, and tMat's an issue. But the intent of the regulation and all, as 20understand it, is for the managers to obtain information to Manage the resource. 2.3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Bill. 2.4 MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to clarify one Doct of terminology. Even though I'm a Federal official, I'd 27ke to clarify the aspect of the hunting license. In the 28ate of Alaska, it is not a sport hunting license or a 20bsistence hunting license, it is a general hunting license. And the funds that are derived from that hunting license \$tovide for the management and study of wildlife resources Baroughout the state. They also provide a basis from which the State gets a portion of money from the Federal Government based 3A taxes that are levied on firearms and ammunition, which also \$5es towards the management of wildlife resources for 36bsistence, for sport, for non-consumptive users here in the State of Alaska. So it's a general hunting license. 38 39 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Who else? John. 40 MR. VALE: Well, in response to that I guess maybe what #abe said with licenses, you know, we might want, at some \$3 int, to address the -- in the form of a proposal the ##quirement to get a license, you know, maybe the permits are 4dequate in themselves for customary and traditional uses. 46 The point I wanted to make with regards to proxy A8nting is that, you know, subsistence is for rural residents ₩9th customary and traditional uses. And most of the

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

communities have many people residing in them who don't have a Bistory, let's say a Native background or a Native history or a Bistory of customary and traditionally using the resources, but 4n fact, they live in communities that are rural and do have 5hose resources available to them and the protections under ANILCA. So whatever system we come up with, we have to keep in mind that those individuals are there as well.

So, you know, I am still looking favorably upon a proxy hunting system as a good means of addressing all the concerns that we've issued here. And so I think, you know, our -- I'm hot sure that, you know, these proposals here need to be put forward, but I do believe we need a proposal that does allow for proxy hunting. At least at this point, I think that's where I'm at on it. And I feel like we should, to a certain extent, try to be species specific or, you know, perhaps for hose and deer and stuff like that we could say ungulates.

But I don't know, that's where I'm at right now, I just thought I'd try to share that.

21

MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman.

2223

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard.

2425

MR. DALTON: I'm looking at this pretty seriously, and \$\frac{2}{0}\$ metimes it kind of gets light and then other times it gets \$\frac{2}{0}\$ eavy. It's something there that's very vitally important to \$\frac{2}{0}\$ leviate what I'm seeing on this proposal of 1995 and 1996 \$\frac{2}{0}\$ deral Subsistence Regulation. And here we have an option, \$\frac{2}{0}\$ eport of the designated harvester/hunter task force, October, \$\frac{2}{0}\$ 4. It appears to me that this particular piece of paper \$\frac{2}{0}\$ alls into this particular tribal management. And by token of \$\frac{2}{0}\$ the ing discussed as of this meeting.

36

Now, I'm optional, to be corrected if I'm wrong, but BBis is what it looks like to me.

39

40 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're getting close to winding down for the day. There's been a lot of thought and some different \$\frac{4}{2}\empoints\$, they're all good ones. What do you think, you guys \$\frac{4}{3}\emptyre to break now and then look at this for better background \$\frac{4}{1}\emptyre d give yourself some more specific ideas to put forth \$\frac{4}{5}\emptyre morrow or what's your wishes?

46

47 Dolly.

48

MS. GARZA: I guess I don't find any major fault with 50

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

the original proposal that was submitted, I assume by Sitka, assuming that it was amended to take out the disabled. And the only problem we're getting back to is how do you get that piece of paper from a disabled or a single mother to a hunter and how you give several hunters that opportunity to provide for one older person or for one family.

I guess I would be willing to sit here for another Bour, perhaps with a smaller committee, and work on a piece of  $\theta$  the big sheet there and see if we can come up with something  $\theta$  to tomorrow. Because I think that if we don't work in a small  $\theta$  oup to try to get something together, I'm not sure we're  $\theta$  ing to come up with a solution as a larger group tomorrow.

14
15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So we'll call this a sub-task force.

16 17

MS. GARZA: Ad hoc group.

18

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ad hoc. We're looking for 20 lunteers. I always look for volunteers first and then if we 20 n't get no volunteers, then I have to impose the selective 22 rvice system. Volunteers, raise your right hand, to work on 28 is and work it out on a flip chart. Mim. John. Dolly, 24 be.

25

MS. LeCORNU: I will, too.

26 27

28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Vicki. I will see that you guys get £9me and a half for that. I think that's a good idea, thank \$0u, Dolly, for suggesting that. That was kind of going \$hrough my mind but I didn't have the courage to suggest it. 32

John.

34

MR. VALE: Mr. Chairman, I think it would be helpful \$6, perhaps, we could get a little direction in drafting up a \$7oposal. You know, at one point during our discussions last \$8ar, we talked about making it for all subsistence resources and, you know, then we went back to species specific, just 40er. And so I guess it would be useful to know now what the \$4ntiment of the Council is, if they want to address individual \$20ecies or a larger group of species.

43

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I think we've got a majority of the Council to serve on this particular project, and my guess would that whatever your recommendations come out I think will be Andorsed by the rest of the Council. What I was going to ask Asw is there any Staff available that could help in structuring this? One, two, three, four.

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. GEORGE: You better .....
3
4
        MS. GARZA: We're going to be outnumbered.
5
6
        MR. GEORGE:
                     Yeah.
7
8
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Huh?
9
10
                    You better put George Taylor on there,
        MR. GEORGE:
1<sub>do</sub>.
12
13
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         George Taylor.
14
15
        MR. GEORGE:
                     Yeah. He was at most of them (ph).
16
17
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: George seems to be a pretty popular
day.
19
20
        MS. ROBINSON:
                       I didn't see his hand go up.
21
22
        MR. GEORGE: Well .....
23
2.4
        (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech)
25
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll have to impose the presidential
$₹lective service here.
28
29
        MR. GEORGE: Mr. Chairman, one of the things that comes
3\theta came up at the designated task for- -- or the proxy task
Borce, whatever it was, was tribal, and it came up here also.
And there is certainly an option in the proposal considering
83 ibal enforcement and regulation. The problem is, is this the
affena to address that or is that in with the Secretary of
Bhterior and Ag and whoever all the Secretaries are that have
management authority over the areas.
37
        I don't think we're -- we can certainly make our
B@commendations but that also has to be well thought out.
40d much rather not address that until we have gone through it
4h a more thorough discussion period, you know, not to take
anything away from them or add anything to it. But I think
$3 utheast has to think about that on a tribal scale.
44
45
        Yeah, I quess that's all.
46
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
                         Okay. Thanks, Gabe. When this
€8mmittee works on this this evening, I was wondering, Bill, if
♦0u would agree to kind of facilitate the direction of the
50
```

```
discussions and some of the critical areas that we're going to
fleed to consider. So if you could do that, because none of us
are really that familiar with the process even though we've
seen it a few times. So appreciate that.
        Before we break up, Terry would like to go over the --
Some of the logistics with us. You got a funny looking sheet
Bere and a white sheet, and Terry is going to make it as easy
&s possible for us and is going to give us some suggestions and
this kind of thing. So it's all yours, Terry.
11
        \mbox{MR. WILD: }\mbox{ Yeah, you can close the meeting, and I can }
13st come up here 'cause it's just for the Council
ındembers .....
15
16
        CHAIRMAN THOMAS:
17
18
        MR. WILD: ..... on that so .....
19
20
        MR. GEORGE: Vote for a recess till tomorrow.
21
       CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. A recess has been called for.
20e're in recess.
25
        (Off record)
26
27
                   (END OF DAY'S PROCEEDINGS)
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

```
CERTIFICATE
GNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                )ss.
STATE OF ALASKA
        I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the
State of Alaska and Reporter for R&R Court Reporters, Inc., do
Mereby certify:
10
11
        THAT the foregoing pages numbered 71 through 174
tontain a full, true and correct Transcript of the Southeast
Rêgional Subsistence Advisory Council, Volume II, meeting taken
##ectronically by me on the 6th day of October, 1994, beginning
$5 the hour of 9:00 o'clock a.m. at Centennial Hall, Juneau,
Alaska;
17
18
        THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript
tequested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by Karen
2Quires to the best of her knowledge and ability;
21
22
        THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party
28terested in any way in this action.
25
        DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 13th day of October,
2094.
27
28
29
30
31
                        Notary Public in and for Alaska
32
                        My Commission Expires: 4/17/96
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```